GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 26

Alison Ray
Director of Sales- Liberty States

Alison has over 26 years of wireless industry experience. She began her career at AT&T in 1994 as Director of Business Development for Comcast Cellular. In 1999, she became Director of Marketing when SBC purchased Comcast. In 2010, Alison became Director of Sales Operations and took over the Indirect Sales Director position in 2011, leading both National Retail and Local Dealer Distribution. In January 2014, Alison was given sole responsibility to lead the Authorized Retailer team. Today, Alison is responsible for 122 AT&T Retail, Authorized Retail and National Retail locations in Southeastern PA. Alison graduated from Drexel University with a BS in Business Administration, double majoring in Marketing/Operations Management and earned a Master's degree from Penn State University. Alison previously served as a mentor in the RMDP Program and currently sits on the Board for the Women of AT&T-Philadelphia. She is also a two-time Summit Winner (Regional President's Choice). Alison lives in Collegeville, PA with her husband Paul and 2 dogs. She is an avid golfer and enjoys photography.

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ALISON RAY

Collegeville, PA 19426,

Plaintiff,

V.

AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES LLC. 1025 Lenox Park Blvd., NE. Atlanta, GA 30319,

Defendant.

No. 2:18-cv-03303-TR

Magistrate Judge Timothy R. Rice

Declaration of Kyle Mundis

- 1. I am a Human Resources Business Partner at AT&T. For roughly the past three years, I have been responsible for providing human resources support to the Ohio/Pennsylvania Market of AT&T Mobility Sales and Services. I submit this declaration in support of AT&T Mobility Services LLC's cross-motion for partial summary judgment in the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this declaration and if called to testify under oath, I could and would testify competently to them. I have reviewed Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Alison Ray submitted in connection with the above-captioned matter.
- 2. Alison Ray was a Director of Sales from September 2011 through January 15, 2018. Ms. Ray had responsibility over various retail locations in Southeastern Pennsylvania, a territory within the Ohio/Pennsylvania Market of AT&T Mobility Sales and Services. As of November 16, 2017, the Ohio/Pennsylvania Market was part of the East Region of Mobility Retail Sales and Services, led by Region President Jennifer Van Buskirk. Throughout this declaration, I refer to the East Region of Mobility Sales and Services as the "East Region."

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 5 of 81

3. Prior to November 16, 2017, AT&T engaged in a decision-making process to select employees in the East Region of Mobility Sales and Services for participation in an employment surplus program. Throughout this declaration, I refer to this decision-making process as the "Restructuring." The Restructuring was assigned the Business Case ID 17-350.

- 4. Prior to the Restructuring, Jennifer Van Buskirk was the President of the Northeast Region of Mobility Retail Sales and Services. On August 17, 2017, Ms. Van Buskirk announced via email that the Northeast Region (which included the Ohio/Pennsylvania Market) was consolidating with the Heartland States, Georgia/South Carolina, and Florida markets to form the "East Region." That email announcement is attached to this declaration as Exhibit A. Ms. Van Buskirk sent the email to the employees in the East Region and signed it under her new title: "President East Region." Ex. A.
- 5. On August 17, 2017, AT&T announced the formation of the East Region to employees in the East Region, stating that "Jennifer Van Buskirk, Region President Northeast will now be Region President East." That email announcement is attached to this declaration as Exhibit B. The email also confirmed that going forward, the Vice Presidents and General Managers responsible for the Heartland States, Georgia/South Carolina, and Florida markets would report to Ms. Van Buskirk.
- 6. Company documents that employees in the East Region commonly interacted with in the normal course of business identified them as part of the East Region of Mobility Retail Sales and Services. For example, Alison Ray's "People Profile" in the company directory identified her as a "DIRECTOR OF SALES" in the "RETAIL SLS & SVC PA/OH" market, within the "MOBILITY RTL SLS & SVC EAST REG." A copy of a relevant excerpt of Ms. Ray's People Profile is attached to this declaration as Exhibit C.

- 7. The purpose of the Restructuring was to reduce layers and increase operational efficiencies in the East Region. To achieve this goal, the East Region was tasked with ensuring that each manager had at least 10 employees directly reporting to her or him. Prior to the Restructuring, many managers in the East Region had fewer than 10 direct reports.
- 8. Employees in the East Region were asked whether they had an interest in leaving the company.
- 9. As part of the Restructuring, AT&T identified Affected Work Groups ("AWGs") in the East Region for reduction, which were comprised of employees in the same or similar job titles who shared similar characteristics (e.g., sharing common managers or being responsible for geographic areas proximate to other employees in the same AWG).
- There were 36 AWGs in the East Region for the purposes of the Restructuring,
 which collectively comprised the Decisional Unit.
- 11. Alison Ray was in AWG 12, which was comprised of the employees in the Director of Sales position who were responsible for territories in what was called the Ohio/Pennsylvania market.
- 12. There were eight Directors of Sales in AWG 12. Based on its review of the East Region for the Restructuring, AT&T determined that the number of Director of Sales positions in AWG 12 would be reduced by two. To that end, these employees were rated by their supervisors, Alyson Woodard and Judith Cavalieri, in several categories: Performance, Leadership (comprised of five sub-categories), Skills, and Experience. The supervisors assigned scores of 1-5 to each employee in each category.
- 13. After the rating process, the two Directors of Sales in AWG 12 who received the lowest numerical scores were selected for participation in the group surplus program and were

thus placed on surplus status as of November 16, 2017. Their positions were accordingly eliminated.

- 14. Alison Ray was one of the two lowest-ranked Directors of Sales in AWG 12, and as a result was selected for participation in the group surplus program and placed on surplus status as of November 16, 2017. Her position was eliminated. The territory that she covered was divided among other Directors of Sales.
- Letter, a true and accurate copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Alison Ray submitted in connection with the above-captioned matter. The Surplus Notification Letter directed Ms. Ray to access several resources on the Mechanized Employee Surplus Administration ("MESA") website including an "ADEA Listing" generated by the MESA system. These documents, which I refer to collectively in this declaration as the "Package," constituted the company's final offer to Ms. Ray with respect to the severance package she was offered in exchange for a release of claims. The company did not make any subsequent offer to Ms. Ray in this respect.
- 16. AT&T did not provide the Package to any employees in the East Region prior to November 16, 2017.
- 17. On January 16, 2018, Ms. Ray returned the executed General Release and Waiver provided in the Package. She did not revoke her acceptance. The Company made the severance payments to Ms. Ray in full. Ms. Ray has not returned any portion of those severance payments.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29 day of October 2018, in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania.

Myl Mundis

EXHIBIT A

From: Jennifer Van Buskirk - Northeast Region **Sent:** Thursday, August 17, 2017 4:03 PM

To: Jennifer Van Buskirk - Northeast Region <g47278@att.com>

Subject: Announcing the New East Region



Announcing the New East Region

Team,

I am thrilled to announce that the Heartland States, Georgia/South Carolina, and Florida markets will join our former Northeast Region to create the powerful East Region. These three markets are known for their execution and dedication to excellence. Combined with the Northeast Region's passion to win, the new East Region will be unstoppable. I could not be more excited to see what we can accomplish together.

As we become one, it is important to stay focused on our priorities – taking care of our customers and driving sales growth. This change is an opportunity to share best practices, step up our game, and execute.



I look forward to working with all of you. Welcome to the new East Region team!

#biggER #strongER #bettERtogether

Jennifer Van Buskirk President – East Region

EXHIBIT B

From: Rasesh Patel - AT&T Entertainment Group Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2017 3:18 PM

To: Rasesh Patel - AT&T Entertainment Group <g06826@att.com>

Subject: Our Entertainment Group Digital, Retail and Care leadership team



To: All AT&T Entertainment Group Digital, Retail and Care employees

As we stay focused on serving our customers and exceeding our objectives, I wanted to clarify for you the next level of appointments for our AT&T Entertainment Group Digital, Retail and Care leadership team. Your leadership may share additional announcements clarifying roles on their teams.

Thank you for continuing to work together, to be the face and voice of AT&T to our customers and to deliver on our EG goal of a premium, effortless mobile and entertainment experience.



- Rasesh

Rasesh Patel Senior Executive Vice President Digital, Retail and Care AT&T Entertainment Group

Sales & Distribution

The following report to Brian Shay, President - Sales & Distribution:

Jennifer Van Buskirk, Region President – Northeast will now be Region President – East. Jennifer will remain in Bedminster, New Jersey. In addition to her current direct reports the following will also report to Jennifer:

Marvy Moore: Vice President and General Manager - Georgia/South Carolina

Cristy Swink: Vice President and General Manager - Florida

Kristi Turner: Vice President and General Manager - Heartland States

Shelley Goodman – will assume an Interim leadership role for the Central Region. In addition to the current Central Region VPGMs, **Eric Goldfeld**, Vice President and General Manager - Gulf States, will also report to Shelley. Shelley will remain in Chicago.

1

Jeff Bradley, Region President – West. There are no changes to Jeff's VPGM direct reports. Jeff will remain in Redmond, Washington.

JJ Davila, Vice President and General Manager - Puerto Rico/USVI. JJ will remain in Guaynabo, Puerto Rico.

Ed Balcerzak, Senior Vice President – Connected Communities. **Doug Eichler**, Vice President – Commercial Sales, will be transitioning to the Business Solutions organization under **Anne Chow**, President – National Business. Ed's other direct reports remain the same. Ed will remain in Dallas.

Rob Forsyth, Vice President – In-Home Experts. Rob has responsibility for leading our newest sales channel that provides a premier, white-glove service to customers who order DIRECTV service. Rob will remain in Dallas.

Jennifer Chrisco, Chief of Staff. Jennifer will remain in Dallas.

Holly Poe, Executive Assistant. Holly will remain in Dallas

Distribution Channel Partners

The following report to Mike Wittrock, Senior Vice President – Distribution Channel Partners:

Rich Guidotti, Vice President – Local Channel Partners. Rich will continue to be responsible for sales and distribution through local strategic partners and door to door partners and continue to shape those partner channels towards our full bundles strategy. Rich will remain in Dallas.

Charlie Conway, Assistant Vice President – Carrier Partners. Charlie will maintain responsibility for our strong carrier partnerships as we continue to expand our distribution reach for all consumer products. Charlie will remain in Dallas.

Vacant, Assistant Vice President – Indirect eComm, will be responsible for E-commerce partnerships as we continue to expand our digital bundling strategies.

Dale Rayman, Assistant Vice President – National Retail and Third Parties. Dale will continue to lead our national retail and third party labor relationships and shape those relationships toward our full bundles strategy. Dale will remain in Dallas.

Steve Menard, Assistant Vice President – Dealer Operations – Business Development. Steve will be responsible for building our contractual relationships for consumer products nationally as we strive to create new types of partnerships around our bundles strategy. Steve will remain in Dallas.

Vacant, Assistant Vice President – Sales Strategy and Planning. This position will be responsible for designing and executing competitive channel strategies to enhance the productivity and growth of our consumer product sales

Debra Gaymon-Mcnair, Chief of Staff. Debra will remain in Dallas.

Erika Rawcliffe, Executive Assistant. Erika will remain in Dallas.

Care and Sales Centers

The following report to **Jamie Barton**, Executive Vice President – Care and Sales Centers:

Carmen Nava, Senior Vice President – Premium Care and Customer Loyalty. Carmen's teams span across Customer Loyalty, Connected Communities, Commercial, Retail Support, Office of the President, National Back Office, and Chronic Care. Carmen will remain in Dallas.

LeAnn Priebe, Senior Vice President – Technical Care. LeAnn continues leading our Technical Care and Chat teams who provide technical and digital support for all video, internet, and wireless services including DIRECTV NOW. LeAnn will remain in Dallas.

Anthony Tuggle, Vice President – Sales. Anthony continues leading our Sales teams including Mobility Sales and Service, U-verse, Direct Sales, Outbound Telemarketing, Movers, Reconnects, Sales Support, and Mobility Prepaid. Anthony will remain in Atlanta.

Brenda Kittila, Vice President – Business Customer Service. Brenda will be transitioning to the Business Solutions organization under **Anne Chow**, President – National Business.

John DeVaul, Assistant Vice President – Service and Productivity. John oversees the teams who handle our Integrated Service Model multi-product single bill customers, our DIRECTV service customers, and our existing U-verse customers. John will remain in Orange Park, Florida.

Mimi Gourley, Assistant Vice President – Center Strategy and Planning. Mimi is responsible for call center strategy, labor support, and expense management, as well teams servicing our low-cost broadband and Lifeline customers. Mimi will remain in San Antonio, Texas.

Nathan Watt, Director – Lead Project Manager. Nathan will continue serving as Jamie's Chief of Staff and will oversee strategic projects for the organization. Nathan will remain in Dallas.

Nick Grande, Senior Manager – Lead Analyst and Ops Support. Nick's functions include reports and analytics, operational review support, and monitoring performance results. Nick will be located in Dallas.

Tina Dennis, Executive Assistant. Tina will remain in Dallas.

Digital

The following report to **Fred Devereux**, Senior Vice President – Digital:

Brian Collins, Vice President – Digital Sales, Service, and Support Planning and Management. Brian's team enables a Learn, Buy, Get, Use, Pay, Support (LBGUPS) model that seamlessly emulates how customers do business with AT&T through the entire lifecycle. Brian will be located in Dallas.

Devin Merrill, Vice President – Digital Strategy, Experience & Execution. Devin's team will drive our digital vision, customer experience strategy, and design across the Digital Sales, Service, and Support flow, to deliver a seamless experience across all customer touch points. Devin will be located in Dallas.

Nicole Rafferty, Vice President – Customer Experience and Operations Support, is appointed Vice President – Customer Enablement and Digital Transformation. Nicole will lead the newly formed Customer Enablement and Digital Transformation Program. This creates the structure to deliver our digital strategy in a rapidly changing environment that requires agile and integrated execution of seamless customer experiences. Nicole will remain in Dallas.

David King, Assistant Vice President – Digital Intelligence and Advanced Analytics. David's team enables the organization to achieve business goals through data, performance measurement and insights. David will be located in Dallas.

Eva Torrence, Principal Project Program Manager. Eva is responsible for Chief of Staff duties including all internal communications, presentations, events, planning and support. Eva will be located in Dallas.

Lauren Howard, Executive Assistant. Lauren is responsible for executive support to Fred Devereux. Lauren will remain in Dallas.

Customer Experience & Analytics

The following report to Frank Hironaka, Senior Vice President – Customer Experience & Analytics:

Jeanet Mika, Vice President – Product Customer Experience. Jeanet will be responsible for improvements to fielded product performance, new product customer introduction, and multi-platform automated test and diagnostics across all Entertainment Group products. Jeanet will remain in El Segundo.

Woody Berner, Assistant Vice President – Service Customer Experience. Woody will be responsible for improving the service experience, including how customers modify accounts, interact with billing and payments processes, and resolve non-technical interactions. Woody will remain in Dallas.

Lonnie Hansen, Assistant Vice President – Advanced Analytics. Lonnie will be responsible for leading the insights, analytics, and data strategy for improving the customer experience. Lonnie will remain in El Segundo.

Baldeep Sadhal, Assistant Vice President – Customer Experience Strategy. Baldeep will be responsible for defining the overall customer experience strategy and roadmap. Baldeep will remain in El Segundo.

Vacant, Assistant Vice President – Sales Customer Experience. This position will be responsible for improving how customers engage in the sales process. This position will be located in El Segundo.

Vacant, Assistant Vice President – Customer Experience Trials. This position will be responsible for enhancing capabilities to allow for rapid iteration of test & trial improvements across any customer touch point. This position will be located in Dallas.

Rob Howayeck, Director - Chief of Staff for Customer Experience & Analytics. Rob will remain in Dallas.

Shonna Dudley, Executive Assistant for Customer Experience & Analytics. Shonna will remain in Englewood, Colorado.

Operations

The following report to **Vicki Jones**, Senior Vice President – Operations:

Martin Chandler, Vice President – Customer Experience and Operations. Martin will retain current responsibilities and assume leadership of employee engagement. Martin will be located in Dallas.

Kevin Mairs, Vice President – Compensation, Analytics, and Reporting. Kevin will retain current responsibilities and assume leadership of employee expense oversight and EG audits. Kevin will be located in Dallas.

Vicki Martin, Vice President – Program Execution. Vicki will retain current responsibilities. Vicki will remain in Dallas.

Steve Schanz, Vice President – Operations Support: Steve will retain current responsibilities and assume leadership of Connected Communities integrated platform implementation. Steve will remain in Dallas.

Melissa Bolden, Assistant Vice President – Project Program Management. Melissa will continue to lead the execution of our platform integration that will unify frontline capabilities across channels. Melissa will remain in Dallas.

Andy Nolen, Assistant Vice President – Project Program Management. Andy will retain current responsibilities for FCC Merger Conditions. Andy will remain in Atlanta.

Anita O'Neal, Lead Chief of Staff. Anita will retain current responsibilities and assume leadership of Corporate Real Estate planning and Operating Initiatives management. Anita will remain in Dallas.

Rachel Najera, Executive Assistant. Rachel will retain current responsibilities. Rachel will remain in Dallas.

EXHIBIT C

SuccessFactors: People Profile

Profile https://performancemanager4.successfactors.com/sf/liveprofile#/user/...

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 19-4 Filed 10/29/18 Page 14 of 14

Personal Insights

No data



ALISON RAY DIRECTOR OF SALES RETAIL SLS & SVC - PA/OH, MOBILITY RTL SLS & SVC -**EAST REG**

City: KING OF PRUSSIA

State:PA Country: USA

My Profile

Personal Brand Statement

My Impact

No data

Employee Information

Job Information

DIRECTOR OF SALES

Job Key

Job Title

24601109

Level

3

Skills Pivot

Indicator:

NCS Date

May 16, 1994

Country Indicator USA

Company Name

AT&T Mobility

Services LLC

Business Unit MOBILITY RTL SLS &

SVC - EAST REG

Department RETAIL SLS & SVC -

PA/OH

Payroll ID

00237622

1 of 15

10/24/2018, 11:59 AM

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 28

Pages 1 to 4

	Page 1				Page 3
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1		* * *	
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	2		INDEX	
3	* * *	3		* * *	
4	DONALD DOLENTI :	4	WITNES	S	PAGE NO.
-	· ·	5	ALISON	RAY	
5	v. :	6		y Ms. Burke	6,265
3	v	7	В	y Mr. Leahy	223
_		8		* * *	
6	AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES, LLC,:	9		EXHIBITS	
	D/b/a AT&T : NO. 18-05077	10 11	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
7		12		Ray Civil Action Complaint	PAGE NO.
8	* * *	12	Kay-1	Ray CIVII ACCION COMPIAINE	10
9	October 18, 2019	13	Rav-2	2017 Performance Goals	22
10	* * *	"			
11		14	Ray-3	Gearing Up for the Cloud, AT&T	46
12	Oral deposition of ALISON RAY,			Tells Its Workers: Adapt,	
13	held in the offices of Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti,	15		Or Else Article	
14	PC, 3331 Street Road, Two Greenwood Square,				
15	Suite 128, Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020,	16	Ray-4	7/11/17 Letter to Dangleman re:	55
16	commencing at 10:15 a.m., on the above date,			Your AT&T Internal Job Offer	
17	before Hope Agosto, a Professional Court	17	De 5	Danglaman Ar-li-ti-	
18	Reporter and a Notary Public.	18	кау-5	Dangleman Application	55
19	Reporter and a notary rabite.	18	Pay-6	3/31/17 Letter to Espinosa re:	55
19	* * *	20	Kay-0	Your AT&T Internal Job Offer	33
20	• • •	20		Tour Aid internal oob offer	
20		21	Ray-7	Espinosa Application	55
21			2		
22	R&K REPORTING	22	Ray-8	2106 My Performance Plan for	58
	Court Reporting Services			Joales A Espinosa	
23	PO Box 1372	23			
	Levittown, Pennsylvania 19058-1372		Ray-9	Year Over Year Growth	118
24	Phone (215) 946-7009 Fax (215) 949-1867	24		Spreadsheets	
	Page 2				Page 4
	1 480 =				
		١,			
1	APPEARANCES:	1 2		* * * FYHTRITE CONTINUED	
2		2		* * * EXHIBITS CONTINUED * * *	
	A P P E A R A N C E S: KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE	2 3 4	NO.	* * * DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
2	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC	2 3 4 5		* * *	PAGE NO.
2 3 4	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square	2 3 4 5 6	Ray-10	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion	PAGE NO.
2 3	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128	2 3 4 5	Ray-10	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review	PAGE NO.
2 3 4 5	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020	2 3 4 5 6	Ray-10	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion	PAGE NO.
2 3 4	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ray-10	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review	PAGE NO.
2 3 4 5	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray)	PAGE NO. 138 154
2 3 4 5	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for	PAGE NO. 138 154
2 3 4 5 6	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray)	PAGE NO. 138 154
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re	PAGE NO. 138 154
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14	* * * DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-16 Ray-17	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-16 Ray-17	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 545-7676	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-16 Ray-17	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination 10/10/17 Email Chain re: KPI	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 545-7676 Orlow@consolelaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17 Ray-18 Ray-19	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination 10/10/17 Email Chain re: KPI Market Leaders 2017-10-09 10/9/17 Email Chain re:	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 545-7676 Orlow@consolelaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17 Ray-18 Ray-19	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination 10/10/17 Email Chain re: KPI Market Leaders 2017-10-09	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233 246
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 545-7676 Orlow@consolelaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17 Ray-18 Ray-19	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination 10/10/17 Email Chain re: KPI Market Leaders 2017-10-09 10/9/17 Email Chain re:	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233 246
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 545-7676 Orlow@consolelaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17 Ray-18 Ray-19	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination 10/10/17 Email Chain re: KPI Market Leaders 2017-10-09 10/9/17 Email Chain re:	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233 246
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 545-7676 Orlow@consolelaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17 Ray-18 Ray-19	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination 10/10/17 Email Chain re: KPI Market Leaders 2017-10-09 10/9/17 Email Chain re:	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233 246
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, PC BY: CHRISTINE E. BURKE, ESQUIRE 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Suite 128 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020 (215) 639-0801 CBurke@karpf-law.com Counsel for the Plaintiff LITTLER MENDELSON, PC BY: WILLIAM J. LEAHY, ESQUIRE Three Parkway 1601 Cherry Street Suite 1400 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (267) 402-3000 WLeahy@littler.com Counsel for the Defendant CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW BY: DANIEL S. ORLOW, ESQUIRE 1525 Locust Street 9th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102 (215) 545-7676 Orlow@consolelaw.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ray-10 Ray-11 Ray-12 Ray-13 Ray-14 Ray-15 Ray-16 Ray-17 Ray-18 Ray-19	DESCRIPTION Dolenti ARSM Coaching Discussion 2017 Fall Director Ops Review Alison Ray Director Overview (Alison Ray) 2015 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 4/11/13 Letter to Dolenti re Your AT&T Internal Job Offer 2017 My Performance Plan for Donald Dolenti 10/11/17 Email re: Rating and Ranking with Attachment 10/16/17 Email re: Copy of 20171011.xlsx with Attachment Complaint for Employment Discrimination 10/10/17 Email Chain re: KPI Market Leaders 2017-10-09 10/9/17 Email Chain re:	PAGE NO. 138 154 160 162 172 186 224 226 233 246

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 25 to 28

		Pages 25 to 28
Page 25		Page 27
Q. Could you turn to the second page,	1	Q. And who did you give that to, if
please?	2	anyone?
A. (Witness complies with request.)	3	A. It would have been Kyle Mundis, who is
Q. This second page, does that go with	4	our HR manager, and my boss, Judy Cavalieri, my
the first page?	5	former boss.
A. Does it go with it?	6	MS. BURKE: Can you mark the page,
Q. Yes.	7	please, Hope?
A. Yes.	8	* * *
Q. These are your documents. I'm not	9	(Whereupon, Counsel requested the
familiar with them.	10	transcript be marked.)
A. Yes.	11	* * *
Q. Could you go to the third page,	12	BY MS. BURKE:
please?	13	Q. Finally, the last three pages of this
A. Yes.	14	document are labeled business case rating and
Q. This says 9-Box, all employees levels	15	ranking categories. Do you see that?
2 through 5 should be assigned a 9-Box rating.	16	A. Yes.
It has performance on the left-hand side and	17	Q. It says this document describes the
leadership on the bottom. Do you see that?	18	categories to be reviewed and assessed by
A. Yes.	19	supervisors designated as assessors or raters
Q. What was this for?	20	during the surplus process.
A. They used this document when they were	21	Do you see that?
	22	A. Yes.
potential employees would typically be the ones	23	Q. Instruction or, whatever term you want
that would get promoted. This 9-Box was part of	24	to use, was this particular document, all three
Page 26		Page 28
that process. It was called hi-no high	1	pages here, Ray 89 through 91, given to you in
		connection with the directive for you to rate
	1	your team members in the fall of 2017?
	4	A. Yes.
	5	Q. Was this attached to an email?
order to be considered hi-po.	_	
	6	A. Yes.
O. Meaning willing to relocate?	6 7	A. Yes.
Q. Meaning willing to relocate? A. Yes.		A. Yes.
A. Yes.	7	A. Yes.Q. Beyond this business case rating and
A. Yes.Q. Is this document provided to you in	7 8	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you
A. Yes.	7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions,
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that	7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you
A. Yes.Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this	7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment.
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in	7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process?
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that?
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know,
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time. Q. At some point in time in either 2016	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know, when I opened up the actual spreadsheet, the
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time. Q. At some point in time in either 2016 or 2017 were you encouraging Mr. Dolenti to look	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know, when I opened up the actual spreadsheet, the performance category, I believe we had to enter
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time. Q. At some point in time in either 2016 or 2017 were you encouraging Mr. Dolenti to look toward a promotion?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know, when I opened up the actual spreadsheet, the performance category, I believe we had to enter their performance rating, and we did not have
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time. Q. At some point in time in either 2016 or 2017 were you encouraging Mr. Dolenti to look toward a promotion? A. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know, when I opened up the actual spreadsheet, the performance category, I believe we had to enter their performance rating, and we did not have any performance reviews in 2017. So I called
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time. Q. At some point in time in either 2016 or 2017 were you encouraging Mr. Dolenti to look toward a promotion? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever use the 9-Box for him to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know, when I opened up the actual spreadsheet, the performance category, I believe we had to enter their performance rating, and we did not have any performance reviews in 2017. So I called our HR manager and said, what am I supposed to
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time. Q. At some point in time in either 2016 or 2017 were you encouraging Mr. Dolenti to look toward a promotion? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever use the 9-Box for him to assess him?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know, when I opened up the actual spreadsheet, the performance category, I believe we had to enter their performance reviews in 2017. So I called our HR manager and said, what am I supposed to put in here, and he said use your best judgment.
A. Yes. Q. Is this document provided to you in connection with the first two pages of this exhibit or this was a standalone document that you were given otherwise for guidance in potential promotions? A. I don't recall if this was sent at the same time. Q. At some point in time in either 2016 or 2017 were you encouraging Mr. Dolenti to look toward a promotion? A. Yes. Q. Did you ever use the 9-Box for him to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Beyond this business case rating and ranking carries, which I would agree with you contains various descriptions or definitions, were you given any other instructions that you can remember in connection with the rating process? A. Just to use my best judgment. Q. You say that with some emphasis. Is there a reason for that? A. Well, I just recall that, you know, when I opened up the actual spreadsheet, the performance category, I believe we had to enter their performance rating, and we did not have any performance reviews in 2017. So I called our HR manager and said, what am I supposed to
	Q. Could you turn to the second page, please? A. (Witness complies with request.) Q. This second page, does that go with the first page? A. Does it go with it? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. These are your documents. I'm not familiar with them. A. Yes. Q. Could you go to the third page, please? A. Yes. Q. This says 9-Box, all employees levels 2 through 5 should be assigned a 9-Box rating. It has performance on the left-hand side and leadership on the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What was this for? A. They used this document when they were assessing high potential employees, and high potential employees would typically be the ones that would get promoted. This 9-Box was part of Page 26 that process. It was called hi-po, high potential, and this 9-Box rating would filter into a high potential candidate. Aside from this with the hi-po designation, an employee had to indicate whether or not they were mobile in	Q. Could you turn to the second page, please? A. (Witness complies with request.) Q. This second page, does that go with the first page? A. Does it go with it? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. These are your documents. I'm not familiar with them. A. Yes. Q. Could you go to the third page, please? A. Yes. Q. This says 9-Box, all employees levels 2 through 5 should be assigned a 9-Box rating. It has performance on the left-hand side and leadership on the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What was this for? A. They used this document when they were assessing high potential employees, and high potential employees would typically be the ones that would get promoted. This 9-Box was part of Page 26 that process. It was called hi-po, high potential, and this 9-Box rating would filter into a high potential candidate. Aside from this with the hi-po designation, an employee had

R&K REPORTING, INC.

_			Pages 29 to 3
	Page 29		Page 33
1	A. Yep.	1	A. I had a personal notebook with
2	Q. When you say HR manager, you're	2	work-related information, but I no longer have
3	referring to Mundis?	3	it. I mean, when I got surplused, I got rid of
4	A. Yes.	4	my notebooks. I had no need for them.
5	Q. We got way off track here, but the	5	Q. Did you know that you were going to
6	reason why we got to Exhibit Ray-2 to begin	6	sue the company at the time of that surplus?
7	with, and in particular the last three pages	7	A. Not on the day of my surplus.
8	which are the business case rating and ranking	8	Q. And the notebook, did you actually
9	categories, is I was having a discussion with	9	take notes of the rating process?
0	you about what impact, if any, there may be in	10	A. No.
1	the rating process based on some of the current	11	Q. Like, for example, anything you had to
2	ratings in a performance review. Do you	12	say about Don Dolenti or any of his peers?
3	remember that line of questioning?	13	A. No.
4	A. Yes.	14	Q. So looking at these performance
5	Q. If you could to Bates stamped Ray 90	15	definitions, you would agree with me that
6	in Exhibit Ray-2, do you see where it says	16	certain ratings correspond with a point scale.
7	performance definitions?	17	Do you see that?
8	A. Yes.	18	A. Yes.
9	Q. You told me you were given various	19	Q. For example, if someone is fully
0	definitions and this was part of an employee	20	meets, which you were in your business category
1	email that was provided to you, correct?	21	right?
2	A. Yes.	22	A. Uh-huh.
23	Q. It says consider performance	23	Q. It corresponded a point value of 3,
24	demonstrated by your employees over time (the	24	right?
	Page 30		Page 32
1	last two to three years.)	1	A. Correct.
2	Did you see that?	2	Q. If someone got an exceeds, they would
3	A. Yes.	3	get a higher point scale, 4, right?
4	Q. In rating your subordinates, did you	4	A. Correct.
5	understand that you were not supposed to	5	Q. When you were completing the ratings
6	consider their performance just as it stood in	6	for your employees, did you use this point scale
7	2017 but to look back for the last two to three	7	in assessing their prior evaluations?
8	years?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. Did I understand that?	9	Q. Had you made up your mind one way or
	Q. Yes.	10	the other when you did Don Dolenti's rating
0	A. Yes.	11	exactly what you were going to give him in
	(). 1 \(\text{\$\color{1}\cdot} \).	1 1 1	
1		12	February of 2018 when the performance
1 2	Q. Did you actually do that or did you	12	February of 2018 when the performance evaluations were to roll out?
1 2 3	Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time?	13	evaluations were to roll out?
1 2 3 4	Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on	13 14	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I
1 2 3 4 5	Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it.	13 14 15	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can
1 2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole 	13 14 15 16	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole world that would help you remember?	13 14 15 16 17	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand it the question.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole world that would help you remember? A. I don't know. 	13 14 15 16 17 18	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand it the question. THE WITNESS: I don't understand
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole world that would help you remember? A. I don't know. Q. Did you take any of your own personal 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand it the question. THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole world that would help you remember? A. I don't know. Q. Did you take any of your own personal notes in connection with the rating process and 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand it the question. THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. BY MS. BURKE:
1 2 3 4 5 6 .7 8 9	 Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole world that would help you remember? A. I don't know. Q. Did you take any of your own personal notes in connection with the rating process and keep them for yourself? 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand it the question. THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Don Dolenti's performance formal
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole world that would help you remember? A. I don't know. Q. Did you take any of your own personal notes in connection with the rating process and keep them for yourself? A. For the rating process, no. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand it the question. THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Don Dolenti's performance formal evaluation for 2017 would not have come out
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 20 21 22 22 23	 Q. Did you actually do that or did you look at their performance as it stood in time? A. I don't remember exactly what I did on that day that I did it. Q. Is there any documents in the whole world that would help you remember? A. I don't know. Q. Did you take any of your own personal notes in connection with the rating process and keep them for yourself? 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	evaluations were to roll out? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form. I don't understand it, but you can answer the question if you understand it the question. THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Don Dolenti's performance formal

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 33 to 36

	Page 33		Page 35
1	Q. And so when you were notified that you	1	Q. Now, going back to your Complaint,
2	had to rate your employees, had you already	2	which is Ray-1, again, despite your excellent
3	decided for any of your employees what score you	3	performance through your 23 years of service
4	were going to give them on their performance	4	with defendants did you believe that AT&T
5	evaluations?	5	should have taken your years of service into
6	A. I'm having a hard time coming up with	6	account when making the decision about the
7	an answer to that because I was asked to rate in	7	reduction in force?
8	October; that wouldn't have impacted a February	8	MR. LEAHY: Objection to form.
9	2018 rating. That's maybe I'm not	9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	understanding.	10	BY MS. BURKE:
11	Q. I would agree with you. I'll try to	11	Q. Why?
12	be more clear in my question.	12	A. I felt my tenure there was a good
13	When did you first learn that anybody	13	indication of the experience.
14	on your team may be impacted by the surplus?	14	Q. Did you think it was a good indication
15	A. We were notified in October of 2017.	15	of your skill set?
16	Q. Immediately before you were notified	16	A. It's a different category.
17	of the surplus, had you already started	17	Q. I know that. I'm asking if you think
18	commencing any of the performance reviews for	18	it was a good indication of your skill set?
19	your team members?	19	A. No.
20	A. No, I don't believe I did.	20	Q. You just think that was a good
21	Q. And at that point, had you made a	21	indicator regarding your experience?
22	decision as to what score Don Dolenti would get	22	A. Yes.
23	in his upcoming annual review?	23	Q. So if someone hypothetically had been
24	A. No.	24	there for one year and you were there 23 years,
	Page 34		Page 36
1		1	-
1 2	Page 34 Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that	1 2	Page 36 do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience?
	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does		do you believe you should have gotten a higher
2	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that	2	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience?
2 3	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time?	2 3	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes.
2 3 4	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea.	2 3 4	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right?
2 3 4 5	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know	2 3 4 5	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the	2 3 4 5 6	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with	2 3 4 5 6 7	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point scores than you in the performance category?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope. Q. When you filed this lawsuit in August
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point scores than you in the performance category? A. Can you repeat the question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope. Q. When you filed this lawsuit in August of 2018, what, if anything, did you know about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point scores than you in the performance category? A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Looking at this instruction page, do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope. Q. When you filed this lawsuit in August of 2018, what, if anything, did you know about AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point scores than you in the performance category? A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Looking at this instruction page, do you believe that if your peers had exceeds or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope. Q. When you filed this lawsuit in August of 2018, what, if anything, did you know about AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan? A. I was aware of it because when I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point scores than you in the performance category? A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Looking at this instruction page, do you believe that if your peers had exceeds or far exceeds on their reviews, they would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope. Q. When you filed this lawsuit in August of 2018, what, if anything, did you know about AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan? A. I was aware of it because when I worked at the company, I saw various stories,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point scores than you in the performance category? A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Looking at this instruction page, do you believe that if your peers had exceeds or far exceeds on their reviews, they would have gotten a higher point score than you in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope. Q. When you filed this lawsuit in August of 2018, what, if anything, did you know about AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan? A. I was aware of it because when I worked at the company, I saw various stories, you know, from Randall Stephenson indicating the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Were you anticipating rating him does not meet, meets some, or you had no idea at that point in time? A. I had no idea. Q. As we sit here right now, do you know one way or the other whether or not the individuals who rated you in connection with your notification of job elimination used similar rating instructions as you were given for your team members? A. My assumption is that they used the same. Q. Looking at Ray 98 within exhibit Ray-2, do you believe that if individuals had exceeds or far exceeds on their performance evaluations they would have gotten higher point scores than you in the performance category? A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Looking at this instruction page, do you believe that if your peers had exceeds or far exceeds on their reviews, they would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do you believe you should have gotten a higher points rating in the category of experience? A. Yes. Q. Just a logical connection, right? A. Yes. Q. Again, I'm going on Exhibit Ray-1. You say you were terminated on account of your age (49 at the time of the termination) as a result of AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan to reduce what it perceived to be an aging workforce. Do you see that? A. Where are you? Q. Page 1, the second paragraph. Do you want me to read it to you again? A. Nope. Q. When you filed this lawsuit in August of 2018, what, if anything, did you know about AT&T's company wide Workforce 2020 plan? A. I was aware of it because when I worked at the company, I saw various stories,

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 65 to 68

		Pages 65 to 68
Page 65		Page 67
1 Q. I want to look at Paragraph 30.	1	previously been.
2 A. Yes.	2	Q. Well, in 2016, did you tell him that
Q. Employees are selected for surplus	3	his leadership skills were exceeds?
4 based on centrally-determined company-wide	4	A. Yes.
5 ill-defined and/or subjective criteria in a	5	Q. And you knew that in rating the
6 process infected with age bias.	6	managers, you were specifically directed to look
7 Do you believe that's true?	7	back at least two to three years, right?
8 A. Yes.	8	A. Yes.
9 Q. Do you believe that only applied to	9	Q. Not just look at 2017?
10 your rating process or you also believe that	10	A. Yes.
applied to the rating process that was applied	11	Q. So you personally weren't going to let
to Mr. Dolenti?	12	anything that may have had happened in 2017
13 A. Yes.	13	taint your overall rating process, were you?
14 Q. Yes, it also applied to Mr. Dolenti?	14	MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.
15 A. Yes.	15	MR. LEAHY: Objection to form.
16 Q. Without limitation, employees are	16	THE WITNESS: Repeat the question.
17 assigned a rating for, quote, skills. However,	17	* * *
the, quote, skills supposedly assessed are not	18	(Whereupon, the court reporter
these necessary to perform an actual job but a	19	read back the pertinent testimony.)
job of the, quote, future per vision 2020; thus	20	* * *
permitting managers to assign ratings based on	20 21	MR. LEAHY: Same objection.
	22	MR. ORLOW: Objection.
 	23	THE WITNESS: I used feedback in
not or would not acquire, quote, high tech skills necessary for these future jobs.	23	
24 skills necessary for these future jobs.	24	my observations in 2017 as part of the
Page 66		Page 68
1 Do you see that?	1	process that I rated.
2 A. Yes.	2	BY MS. BURKE:
Q. Do you believe that that was applied	3	Q. Were you going off how Don was doing
4 to Mr. Dolenti when he was rated?	4	only in 2017 or did you actually take into
5 MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.	5	consideration the last two to three years?
6 You can answer.	6	A. I took the prior years into
7 THE WITNESS: Yes.	7	consideration but I also included 2017.
8 BY MS. BURKE:	8	Q. In 2017, your perception regarding
9 Q. Why?	9	that his leadership was not as good as years
10 A. I just feel like the category or the	10	prior, was that based on any Inspire and Engage
	1	• •
11 ratings had to be they're subjective. There	11	survevs?
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11 12	surveys? A. That was a piece of it.
was no there was no information that was	12	A. That was a piece of it.
 was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. 		A. That was a piece of it.Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire
 was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. 	12 13 14	A. That was a piece of it.Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right?
 was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was 	12 13 14 15	A. That was a piece of it.Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right?A. That is correct.
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It	12 13 14 15 16	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those?
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It was subjective.	12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those? A. Personally, which we're not supposed
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It was subjective.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those? A. Personally, which we're not supposed to, yes, but I did.
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It was subjective. Q. Did you feel Don had good skills?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those? A. Personally, which we're not supposed to, yes, but I did. Q. How were yours?
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It was subjective. Q. Did you feel Don had good skills? A. Over what period of time?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those? A. Personally, which we're not supposed to, yes, but I did. Q. How were yours? A. They were improved from 2016.
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It was subjective. Q. Did you feel Don had good skills? A. Over what period of time? Q. In general.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those? A. Personally, which we're not supposed to, yes, but I did. Q. How were yours? A. They were improved from 2016. Q. 2016, yours weren't that great, right?
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It was subjective. Q. Did you feel Don had good skills? A. Over what period of time? Q. In general. A. Don had very good skills when it came	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those? A. Personally, which we're not supposed to, yes, but I did. Q. How were yours? A. They were improved from 2016. Q. 2016, yours weren't that great, right? A. No.
was no there was no information that was factual that would provide you with the opportunity to put a specific rating in. Everything for this category as the others was based on how you felt about their skills. It was subjective. Q. Did you feel Don had good skills? A. Over what period of time? Q. In general. A. Don had very good skills when it came to understanding the processes and the policies	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That was a piece of it. Q. You yourself were subject to Inspire and Engage surveys, right? A. That is correct. Q. Did you put a lot of stock in those? A. Personally, which we're not supposed to, yes, but I did. Q. How were yours? A. They were improved from 2016. Q. 2016, yours weren't that great, right?

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 69 to 72

			Pages 69 to 72
	Page 69		Page 71
1	you from a leadership standpoint?	1	consideration of his leadership score was
2	A. For me personally?	2	Inspire and Engage survey in 2017?
3	Q. Yeah.	3	A. Yes, part of it.
4	A. I don't remember the exact score. I	4	Q. Did he have any employees to your
5	remember they were not good.	5	knowledge that were being actively coached or
6	Q. Do you believe that that should have	6	put on any kind of disciplinary PIPs?
7	been held against you as part of your overall	7	A. At what point?
8	leadership rating when it came to determining	8	Q. In '17.
9	whether you should be subject to a job	9	A. Yes, I believe there was Alyse Brock
10	elimination?	10	was put on I think she was put on a plan, and
11	MR. LEAHY: Objection to form.	11	one of his assistant managers was on a plan. I
12	THE WITNESS: If that was part of	12	can't remember, his name was Matt something.
13	the criteria of looking at performance	13	Q. Were those people excluded from
14	and leadership, then why should I be	14	participating in the Inspire and Engage survey
15	held any different than everybody	15	or they were allowed to participate like anyone
16	else?	16	else?
17	BY MS. BURKE:	17	A. They were able to participate. The
18	Q. You knew leadership was one of the	18	Inspire and Engage survey I believe was in
19	categories, right?	19	February. So I don't know the timing of when
20	A. Correct.	20	these employees were on plans. I don't know if
		20	2 7
21	Q. And you didn't have the greatest score		that falls within the same time that the Inspire
22	for leadership in 2016, based on your Inspire	22	and Engage survey was.
23	and Engage survey?	23	Q. Do you believe that being assigned
24	MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.	24	ratings based on ageist stereotypes was
	Page 70		Page 72
1	THE WITNESS: I don't remember the	1	something that only happened to you or do you
2	exact score, but my scores were not	2	believe that that also transpired with Dolenti?
3	good.	3	I'm just trying to understand.
4	BY MS. BURKE:	4	MR. LEAHY: Objection to form.
5	Q. Those Inspire and Engage surveys are	5	MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.
6	done by the subordinates, right?	6	BY MS. BURKE:
7	A. Correct.	7	Q. You can answer.
8	Q. And there are any number of factors	8	A. I did not have that age bias when I
9	that may prompt them to give you less than	9	was rating Don.
10	stellar comments, right?	10	Q. Yours was completely objective, even
11	MR. LEAHY: Objection to form.	11	though every category was essentially subjective
12	THE WITNESS: Sure.	12	and subject to your discretion?
13	BY MR. BURKE:	13	A. Repeat that.
14	Q. Including if an employee is being	14	Q. Yours was entirely objective, even
15	counseled, coached, put on a PIP?	15	though you could essentially give him whatever
	MR. LEAHY: Objection to form.	16	numbers you wanted, right?
16	THE WITNESS: Could you repeat	17	A. I rated him based on what I thought
	THE WITNESS. Could you repeat	1 1/	
16	that?	18	was the appropriate rating based on my
16 17	• •	18	was the appropriate rating based on my
16 17 18	that?	19	observations and feedback that I had received on
16 17 18 19	that? BY MS. BURKE:	19 20	observations and feedback that I had received on him.
16 17 18 19 20	that? BY MS. BURKE: Q. If the employee is being actively	19 20 21	observations and feedback that I had received on him. Q. But you understood that you had full
16 17 18 19 20 21	that? BY MS. BURKE: Q. If the employee is being actively disciplined, you think they're going to give you	19 20 21 22	observations and feedback that I had received on him. Q. But you understood that you had full discretion to give him any numerical rating you
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that? BY MS. BURKE: Q. If the employee is being actively disciplined, you think they're going to give you a good score?	19 20 21	observations and feedback that I had received on him. Q. But you understood that you had full

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 89 to 92

			Pages 89 to 92
	Page 89		Page 91
1	or was it prepopulated for you?	1	row 4, if you follow it over, his 2015 was fully
2	A. For 2016 it should have been	2	meets and '16 was fully meets. Do you see that?
3	prepopulated.	3	A. Yes.
4	Q. I'm talking for '15 and '16, and that	4	Q. We discussed this earlier. Fully
5	actual 2016 numeric rating?	5	meets is a good score, correct?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did that come prepopulated?	7	Q. In your mind, right?
8	A. I believe it, yes, if I remember	8	A. Yes.
9	correctly.	9	Q. And then it says 2016 numeric rating,
10	Q. Did you have to go back and physically	10	3, that was prepopulated, right?
11	pull these employees' performance evaluations to	11	A. Yes.
12	see what they were rated and then look at your	12	Q. And then it says business
13	chart and give them a score?	13	justification needed if current rating is out of
14	A. No. If it was prepopulated, it was	14	range from previous ratings. You give him a
15	already there.	15	current performance rating of 2. Do you see
16	Q. I'm not telling you it's prepopulated.	16	that?
17	I'm asking you.	17	MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.
18	A. If I remember correctly, it was	18	THE WITNESS: That's what it says.
19	prepopulated.	19	BY MS. BURKE:
20	Q. Again, using Jessica Costigan as an	20	Q. Did you give him a current performance
21	example, fully meets for 2015, fully meets for	21	rating of 2?
22	2016 and the 2016 numerical rating of 3, that	22	A. I don't recall what I specifically
23	was there when you got this spreadsheet?	23	gave him. For all I know, this could have been
24	A. Yes.	24	changed.
24	A. 105.	24	Changed.
	Page 90		Page 92
1	Q. Now I want to go to the spreadsheet	1	Q. Do you know if you gave him a 2 or
2	that actually has scores in it. If you could go	2	not?
3	to you can X out of this one, and we're in	3	A. I don't remember what I gave him.
4	the same folder, which is the ESI folder and	4	Q. It says meeting some metrics, but not
5	we're still in natives and the spreadsheet is	5	all. Did you write that?
6	21, ESI AT&T Dolenti 21.	6	A. I don't remember writing that.
7	A. Okay.	7	Q. You would actually have to verify the
8	Q. Let's go down to row 4, which is Don	8	email that you sent and what you attached?
9	Dolenti. Okay?	9	A. Yeah.
10	A. Okay.	10	MS. BURKE: That was not produced
11	Q. It says the rater is Alison Ray, you.	11	to me and the subject of a current
12	Do you see that?	12	motion with the court.
13	A. Yes.	13	Can you mark the page, please,
14	Q. You did, in fact, rate all these	14	Hope?
15	employees?	15	* * *
16	A. Yes.	16	(Whereupon, Counsel requested the
17	Q. Now, in terms of years of service,	17	transcript be marked.)
18	that was prepopulated for you as well, right? I	18	* * *
19	just want to clarify that.	19	MR. LEAHY: I'll tell you for the
20	A. Yes.	20	record the email attaching it is
21	Q. Out of your ARSMs, Linda Swayze had	21	Dolenti 157. I have it as an exhibit
22	the most years of service and then Don Dolenti?	22	if you'd like it.
23	A. Yes.	23	MS. BURKE: No, I can pull it up
24	Q. Now, for Don Dolenti, we're still in	24	myself.
47	Z. 11011, 101 Doll Dollin, We le suit in	1	

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 105 to 108

	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. Okay.	1	what it was the dashboard for overall
2	Q. Column R, do you see where it	2	rankings. It was based on attainment, and this
3	struggling in 2017?	3	was the dashboard that basically Judy would use
4	A. I do.	4	to recognize leaders in the market, like from a
5	Q. Then do you see above that for a	5	shoutout perspective. Oh, you know, the number
6	different employee it says struggling in 2017	6	one store is or, you know, the number one sales
7	and it's misspelled for Jeffrey Carson?	7	rep is or the number one ARSM is on a monthly
8	A. Yes.	8	basis, we would look at this ranking dashboard.
9	Q. Jeffrey Carson was not someone that	9	Q. If you wanted to go into the system at
10	you oversaw, right?	10	any given time into the dashboard, let's just
11	A. I used to but not in 2017.	11	use October of 2017 as an example, would it have
12	Q. Did you rate him in 2017?	12	actually ranked all the current ARSMs under Judy
13	A. I did not.	13	Cavalieri?
		14	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did you provide any input as to what	15	
15	his rating should be in 2017?		MS. BURKE: Can you mark the page,
16	A. No, I did not.	16	please, Hope? * * *
17	Q. Did you write this here for Don	17	
18	Dolenti, struggling in 2017?	18	(Whereupon, Counsel requested the
19	A. I did not. This is the first time I'm	19	transcript be marked.) * * *
20	seeing this spreadsheet.	20	
21	Q. Other than what you told me earlier, I	21	BY MS. BURKE:
22	just want to go to exactly what I wrote down,	22	Q. Now, looking at this document and the
23	that you felt his leadership skills in 2017 were	23	other ARSMs here from Tanya Legaux, the very
24	not as good as previously, did you actually	24	first person.
	Page 106		Page 108
1	believe that he was, quote, struggling 2017, as	1	A. Okay.
2	the person indicated here?	2	Q. Are you familiar with her name?
3	A. I don't believe he was struggling. I	3	A. Yes.
4	think that he was having a rough patch, because	4	Q. She was an ARSM under a different
5	I knew from previous years that he's had he	5	sales director?
6	has the capability of being a good leader. I	6	A. Yes.
7	don't know if he just lost motivation, if it was	7	Q. And then all the way down through
8	the pressure of, you know, sales and, you know,	8	Linda Swayze, who was one of your people, right?
	performance wasn't that good. I don't know.		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
9	performance washe that goods I don't him w	9	A. Correct.
9 10	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I	9 10	A. Correct. O. Who was on a corrective action plan.
		9 10 11	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan,
10	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I	10 11	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right?
10 11	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they	10 11 12	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes.
10 11 12	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy.	10 11 12 13	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right?A. Yes.Q. Did you actually believe that Don
10 11 12 13	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question	10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at
10 11 12 13 14	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his	10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people?
10 11 12 13 14 15	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form.
10 11 12 13 14 15	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer. Q. In what metrics?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer. Q. In what metrics?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object to the form as well.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer. Q. In what metrics? A. Well, you know, year over year results	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object to the form as well. THE WITNESS: I'm not
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer. Q. In what metrics? A. Well, you know, year over year results were not good. They weren't good for a lot of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object to the form as well. THE WITNESS: I'm not understanding the question. Did he
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer. Q. In what metrics? A. Well, you know, year over year results were not good. They weren't good for a lot of people. You could either, you know, be down a	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object to the form as well. THE WITNESS: I'm not understanding the question. Did he deserve to be on the bottom of this
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer. Q. In what metrics? A. Well, you know, year over year results were not good. They weren't good for a lot of people. You could either, you know, be down a lot year over year or you could be up but not as	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object to the form as well. THE WITNESS: I'm not understanding the question. Did he
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But I just saw a decline in his leadership and I got feedback from some of his managers that they weren't happy. Q. Going back to my original question which was about leadership, in terms of his actual sales numbers though, were they struggling? A. He was a low performer. Q. In what metrics? A. Well, you know, year over year results were not good. They weren't good for a lot of people. You could either, you know, be down a lot year over year or you could be up but not as good as other people. But his overall rankings,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Who was on a corrective action plan, right? A. Yes. Q. Did you actually believe that Don Dolenti, age 57 at the time, deserved to be at the bottom out of all of these people? MR. LEAHY: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object to the form as well. THE WITNESS: I'm not understanding the question. Did he deserve to be on the bottom of this form?

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 125 to 128

	D 105		D 105
	Page 125		Page 127
1	literally the month of 2016 at 846 versus	1	cause year over year growth to change
2	October 2017 at 920?	2	and, you know, foot traffic is
3	A. That is a monthly count.	3	certainly one of them, management
4	Q. It's not year to year cumulative?	4	changes are another.
5	A. It's over to the right.	5	BY MS. BURKE:
6	Q. That's the cumulative?	6	Q. Well, when you're doing your ratings
7	A. Yes.	7	for your ARSMs, did you actually go into
8	Q. Where it says 16 through October and	8	dashboard and look at their year and year
9	17 through October?	9	growth?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Year over year he was down by 9	11	Q. Is this the report that you looked at?
12	percent?	12	A. I don't remember if this was specific
13	A. That Berkshire Mall.	13	one. You know, I had printed information when I
14	Q. For that store?	14	was surplused, you know, for my personal
15	A. Correct.	15	benefit, but I don't remember if this was the
16	MR. ORLOW: If you feel like you	16	specific data that I looked at.
17	need to review the rest.	17	Q. We know that you turned your
18	THE WITNESS: Sorry, I thought you	18	spreadsheet in at least as of October 16th,
19	had said these were based on documents	19	right?
20	I provided.	20	MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.
21	MS. BURKE: These were documents	21	BY MS. BURKE:
22	given to me by your attorney this	22	Q. The completed ratings that you emailed
23	morning.	23	to Kyle?
24	MR. ORLOW: Yes, these are.	24	A. Oh, yes, yes. The data I pulled was
	Paga 126		Paga 128
	Page 126		Page 128
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't	1	from the dashboard, so yes.
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't	2	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two
2 3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies.	2 3	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right?
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE:	2 3 4	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told	2 3 4 5	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate?	2 3 4 5 6	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or, again, is it all relative because every store	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember. Q. The next KPI for your ARSMs is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or, again, is it all relative because every store may have different foot traffic or is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember. Q. The next KPI for your ARSMs is the postpaid voice GA count. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or, again, is it all relative because every store may have different foot traffic or is a different size?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember. Q. The next KPI for your ARSMs is the postpaid voice GA count. Do you see that? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or, again, is it all relative because every store may have different foot traffic or is a different size? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember. Q. The next KPI for your ARSMs is the postpaid voice GA count. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What's that for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or, again, is it all relative because every store may have different foot traffic or is a different size? A. Yes. Q. How did you gauge this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember. Q. The next KPI for your ARSMs is the postpaid voice GA count. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What's that for? A. That's new subscribers for voice, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or, again, is it all relative because every store may have different foot traffic or is a different size? A. Yes. Q. How did you gauge this? MR. LEAHY: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember. Q. The next KPI for your ARSMs is the postpaid voice GA count. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What's that for? A. That's new subscribers for voice, so who are purchasing wireless, or yeah, so think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember them. Sorry, I don't remember the graphs. My apologies. BY MS. BURKE: Q. Is there anything that you just told me that you believe is inaccurate? A. No, not at all, not at all. Q. For the Berkshire Mall store only, the year over year comparison, that store was down by 9 percent? A. Year to date, yes, yep. Q. Now, some of these other stores were down by almost, one of them 32 percent, for Swayze's Tilghman store. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, is this significant to you or, again, is it all relative because every store may have different foot traffic or is a different size? A. Yes. Q. How did you gauge this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the dashboard, so yes. Q. So you would have only had roughly two weeks of data in October, right? A. Correct. What I was saying though is I don't know if this was the specific sheet that I used. Q. Would the categories have been the same, it would show you your ARSMs by their store and their KPIs to date? A. Yes. Q. Let me ask you this: Did Dolenti's performance in your mind change dramatically from the first week of October until you completed the ratings on October 16th, 2017? Was there a big change? A. I don't remember. Q. The next KPI for your ARSMs is the postpaid voice GA count. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What's that for? A. That's new subscribers for voice, so

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 229 to 232

			Pages 229 to 232
	Page 229		Page 231
1	the ones that you gave for each of those	1	performance at the time?
2	employees; is that right?	2	A. At that time, yes.
3	A. According to the spreadsheet. I don't	3	Q. The next one over, leadership personal
4	remember my actual ratings, but if this is my	4	capability rating, 2. Is that consistent with
5	spreadsheet that I submitted, then those are the	5	what you remember at the time?
6	ratings.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And the numbers that you see here for	7	Q. And the next one, leadership focus on
8	these employees, are there any that you look at	8	results rating, also a 2. Is that consistent
9	and think are incorrect?	9	with your recollection of his performance at the
10	A. Can you incorrect as in back then	10	time?
11	or	11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Are they inconsistent with what your	12	Q. Now, for the other employees listed
13	perception was at the time you rated these	13	here, if we take Mr. Dangleman, for example, it
14	employees?	14	looks like he had 4s across the board. Is that
15	A. Well, I don't remember specifically	15	consistent with your recollection of his
		16	
16	the ratings and my thought process on that	17	performance at the time? A. Yes.
17	particular day that I was doing this. So would		
18	it be any different, I can't answer that right	18	Q. And how about Mr. Espinosa, it looks
19	this second.	19	like Mr. Espinosa had a mix of 3s and 4s. He
20	Q. Based on what you remember at the	20	had a 3 for current performance. Is that
21	time, it looks like the current performance	21	consistent with what you remember at the time?
22	rating for Mr. Dolenti was a 2?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Okay.	23	Q. And he had a 4 for leadership
24	Q. Is that consistent with your	24	character rating. Is that consistent with what
	Page 230		Page 232
1	recollection?	1	you remember?
2	A. Of what I entered or his performance?	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Both.	3	Q. He had a 3 for leadership leading
4	A. I'm having a hard time answering that	4	change rating. Consistent with what you
5	right now, because again, I don't remember. I	5	remember?
6	mean, he was a low performer.	6	A. Yes, sorry. I lost my spot.
7	Q. And would 2 be consistent with	7	MR. ORLOW: Leading change.
8	somebody being a low performer?	8	THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.
9	A. Yes.	9	BY MR. LEAHY:
10	Q. And it looks like you gave Ms. Swayze,	10	Q. And 4s then in the remaining
11	according to this spreadsheet, a 1. Was she	11	categories, is that consistent with what you
12	also a low performer?	12	remember?
	-	13	
13	A. Yes.	14	A. Yes. O. Based on the total rating that came
14	Q. And if you go over a couple of	15	
15	columns, Mr. Dolenti is listed on here as having		out from the scores that you put in, for the
16	a 2 under leadership, leading change rating; is	16	four ARSMs who reported to you, Ms. Swayze was
17	that correct?	17	the lowest rated; is that correct?
	A. Correct.	18	A. Yes, by this ranking here with the
18	() And is that consistent with warm	19	spitout, yes.
18 19	Q. And is that consistent with your		
18 19 20	recollection of his performance at the time?	20	Q. And is that your consistent with your
18 19 20 21	recollection of his performance at the time? A. Yes.	21	perception if you looked at the four of them,
18 19 20 21 22	recollection of his performance at the time? A. Yes. Q. The next one is leadership	21 22	perception if you looked at the four of them, that she was the lowest performing of the four?
18 19 20 21	recollection of his performance at the time? A. Yes.	21	perception if you looked at the four of them,

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 233 to 236

			Pages 233 to 236
	Page 233		Page 235
1	A. Yes.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. The next lowest would be Mr. Dolenti	2	Q. When I asked why, she said that my
3	at 2.6 of the rating that was generated; is that	3	year over year results were not good and my
4	correct?	4	engagement scores were also not good and that I
5	A. Yes.	5	was coached on this during the year.
6	Q. Is that consistent with your	6	Did I read that correctly?
7	recollection; that is, of the four at that time,	7	A. Yes.
8	he was the second worst performing?	8	Q. Are each of those things true?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. His year over year results were not
10	* * *	10	good; his engagement scores in the beginning of
11	(Whereupon, Exhibit Ray-18 was	11	the year were not good, and he was coached. I
12	marked for identification.)	12	gave feedback to Don throughout the year.
13	* * *	13	Q. The next sentence, Judy also said that
14	BY MR. LEAHY:	14	HR followed up with skip level meetings with my
15	Q. Ms. Ray, I'm showing you now a	15	team regarding engagement.
16	document. I'll ask you, have you seen it	16	Were you aware of that?
17	before? I can tell you that this is the	17	A. Yes, I was.
18	Complaint that Mr. Dolenti originally filed with	18	Q. Were you involved in it?
19	the court. Have you ever seen it before?	19	A. Not in the actual meetings. I
20	A. Yes.	20	actually when I was giving feedback to Don
21	Q. When did you see it before?	21	during the year, as I mentioned in my earlier
22	A. A few weeks ago.	22	testimony, where he was not very accepting or
23	Q. In what context did you see it?	23	open to feedback, I had been getting feedback
24	A. When my attorney	24	from his managers about them not being happy,
	Page 234		Page 236
1	MR. ORLOW: That's enough.	1	and in, in fact, one manager said that he didn't
2	BY MR. LEAHY.	2	want to work for Don anymore. I did not tell
3	Q. It was with your attorney?	3	Don that part though because the sales manager
4	A. Yes.	4	wanted me to keep in confidence. However,
5	Q. Good enough for me.	5	because Don was not open to the feedback, I felt
6	Let me ask you to turn to, if you look	6	like I had to get an outside party to
7	at the top right, do you see a numbering on it,	7	investigate what was going on. And one of my
8	it says Page blank of 19?	8	peers, Jerry Fornwald, had suggested that I
9	A. Yes.	9	reach out to Kyle Mundis, because Kyle did the
10	Q. Turn to Page 7 of 19. I did it double	10	same thing for Jerry, which was to get his he
11	sided just to save a tree or two. Do you see	11	would get Don's managers in a room and his
12	there's the third full paragraph on the page	12	assistant managers in a room to try and dig into
13	that begins when we were first notified?	13	what's actually going on from an engagement
14	A. Yes.	14	standpoint. And I asked Kyle to do that for
15	Q. I'd like you to go down to I'm	15	Don, and I also asked him to do it for Linda.
16	going to read some of this quickly just to put	16	I don't remember the exact time that
17	some of it in context. But it refers on the	17	it occurred. It was probably towards the end of
18	second sentence to, on November 16th, 2017, I	18	the summer, early fall. It was definitely
10		19	before the surplus. But I never saw the actual
19	received a call from Judy Cavalieri and was told		1. 6 1 . 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2
		20	results of what happened. I asked for them, and
19	received a call from Judy Cavalieri and was told	20 21	Kyle was kind of procrastinating in getting back
19 20	received a call from Judy Cavalieri and was told that it shouldn't be a surprise that you are	20 21 22	Kyle was kind of procrastinating in getting back to me. And, you know, I would get excuses like
19 20 21	received a call from Judy Cavalieri and was told that it shouldn't be a surprise that you are receiving this call.	20 21 22 23	Kyle was kind of procrastinating in getting back to me. And, you know, I would get excuses like he had to gather his thoughts and his notes, and
19 20 21 22	received a call from Judy Cavalieri and was told that it shouldn't be a surprise that you are receiving this call. Do you see that?	20 21 22	Kyle was kind of procrastinating in getting back to me. And, you know, I would get excuses like

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 245 to 248

		Pages 245 to 2	240
	Page 245	Page 2	247
1	MR. ORLOW: I'm going to object	1 BY MR. LEAHY:	
2	just because I am a little confused by	Q. Ms. Ray, do you have Exhibit Ray-19	in
3	the question, but if you understand.	3 front of you?	
4	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the	4 A. Yes.	
5	question?	5 Q. Ray-19 is an email from Ms. Cavalieri	
6	BY MR. LEAHY:	6 to you on October 10th of 2017. Do you see	
7	Q. You wouldn't say something like that	7 that?	
8	because it's wrong to say, isn't it?	8 A. Yes.	
9	A. Yes.	9 Q. And the subject is KPI market leaders	?
10	Q. Did you know an employee named Harry	10 A. Yes.	•
11	Del Buono?	11 Q. Do you see that?	
12	A. Yes.	12 A. Yes.	
13	Q. Who was Mr. Del buono?	13 Q. Do you recall this email?	
13	A. He was one of my old employees.	14 A. No.	
15			
16	Q. Did you ever go on rides with him? A. Yes.	,	
]	
17	Q. Did you ever refer to him as an old	over 50 percent in premium video and down in	а
18	man during those rides?	18 postpaid voice as well.	
19	A. No.	19 Did I read that correctly?	
20	Q. In rating the performance that you did	20 A. Yes.	
21	of your employees that we have gone through on	Q. Do you remember that being an issue	at
22	the spreadsheet a little I while ago, did you	22 that point in time?	
23	consider anybody's age in doing that?	A. I don't remember the exact numbers.	
24	A. No.	24 I'd have to look at the report, but they could	
	Page 246	Page 2	<u></u> 248
1	Q. Now, the fact that, for example,	1 have been down.	
2	Mr. Dangleman was younger than Mr. Dolenti, is	2 Q. And then she says, how can I help? Do	
3	that a reason that he received a better rating	3 you remember discussing their performance the	en
4	than Mr. Dolenti?	4 with Ms. Cavalieri after this email?	
5	A. No.	5 A. No, I don't remember because I don't	
6	Q. Why did he get a better rating than	6 remember this email, so I don't remember a	
7	Mr. Dolenti?	7 conversation with her.	
8	A. Why?	8 * * *	
9	Q. Yes.	9 (Whereupon, Exhibit Ray-20 was	
10	A. Overall rating?	10 marked for identification.)	
11	Q. Yes. Well, the ratings in the	* * *	
12	individual categories, why did he get individual	12 BY MS. BURKE:	
13	ratings that were better than Mr. Dolenti's in	13 Q. Ms. Ray, Exhibit Ray-20 is a series of	
14	those categories?	email exchanges between you and Mr. Dolenti.	
15	A. Why did he get better	The top one is October 9th of 2017. Do you see	
16	Q. Why did you rate him higher?	16 that?	
17	MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.	17 A. Yes.	
18	You can answer.	18 Q. Do you recall this email?	
19	THE WITNESS: I thought that his	19 A. Not necessarily. I mean, if I can	
20	performance was better.	20 read this.	
21	* * *	21 Q. Take your time. Only the first one is	
22	(Whereupon, Exhibit Ray-19 was	22 a standalone email.	
23	marked for identification.)	23 A. I need to understand this in the	
24	* * *	24 context. There's no other information.	
47		2. comean micros no outer information.	

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 253 to 256

			Pages 253 to 256
	Page 253		Page 255
1	Did I read that correctly?	1	Q. Did you apply any ageist stereotypes
2	A. Yes.	2	in assessing Mr. Dolenti's skills?
3	Q. Do you recall getting this from	3	A. No.
4	Mr. Dolenti?	4	Q. Did you apply ageist stereotypes in
5	A. It's from it's familiar. I mean, I	5	assessing any employee's skills of those you
6	remember him sending me a spreadsheet that just	6	rated?
7	had tons and tons of data, which is why I asked	7	A. Not at all.
8	him to go back and provide me a summary; that I	8	Q. But you think others did so in rating
9	didn't want to have to go through them all	9	you?
10	myself.	10	A. I'm not sure what specifically or
11	Q. Were you disappointed in his	11	I'm not sure who made the decision to terminate
12	performance at this point for this task?	12	me, so I don't know exactly what I don't know
13	A. Well, he didn't provide me for what I	13	what they were thinking.
14	was looking for in this email.	14	Q. I'd like you to turn to Paragraph 69
15	Q. Let me ask you to go back to Exhibit	15	of your Complaint.
16	Ray-1. That was your Complaint. Do you have in	16	A. (Witness complies with request.)
17	it front of you?	17	Q. Paragraph 69, this is referring to
18	A. I do.	18	your own termination. It says per the ADEA
19	Q. Let me ask you to turn first to	19	listing of the eight individuals assigned to AWG
20	Paragraph 30 of the Complaint. Do you see	20	12, plaintiff was one of two selected for
21	Paragraph 30, it says employees are selected for	21	surplus by defendants.
22	surplus based on centrally determined	22	Do you see where I read that?
23	company-wide ill-defined and/or subjective	23	A. Yes.
		23	71. 100.
24	criteria and a process infected with age bias.	24	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG
	criteria and a process infected with age bias. Page 254		Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG
24	criteria and a process infected with age bias.	24	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256
24	criteria and a process infected with age bias. Page 254 Did I read that correctly?	24	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were
24 1 2	Criteria and a process infected with age bias. Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes.	24 1 2	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and
1 2 3	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are	1 2 3	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest
1 2 3 4	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the	1 2 3 4	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12.
1 2 3 4 5	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those	1 2 3 4 5	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly?
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly?	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Did you assess Mr. Dolenti's skills	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Did you assess Mr. Dolenti's skills when you rated his performance?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he? A. Yes. Q. And Mr. Dolenti was older than
1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Did you assess Mr. Dolenti's skills when you rated his performance? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he? A. Yes. Q. And Mr. Dolenti was older than Mr. Dangleman, wasn't he?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Did you assess Mr. Dolenti's skills when you rated his performance? A. Yes. Q. What ageist stereotype did you apply	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he? A. Yes. Q. And Mr. Dolenti was older than Mr. Dangleman, wasn't he? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Did you assess Mr. Dolenti's skills when you rated his performance? A. Yes. Q. What ageist stereotype did you apply in assessing those skills?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he? A. Yes. Q. And Mr. Dolenti was older than Mr. Dangleman, wasn't he? A. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Dangleman's age play any role
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Did you assess Mr. Dolenti's skills when you rated his performance? A. Yes. Q. What ageist stereotype did you apply in assessing those skills? MR. ORLOW: Objection to form.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Of the eight employees assigned to AWG Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he? A. Yes. Q. And Mr. Dolenti was older than Mr. Dangleman, wasn't he? A. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Dangleman's age play any role in that?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 254 Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Without limitation, employees are assigned a rating for skills; however, the skills supposedly assessed are not those necessary to perform an actual job but a job of the future Provision 2020. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Thus, permitting managers to assign ratings based on the ageist stereotype that older workers could not or would not acquire high tech skills necessary for these future jobs. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Did you assess Mr. Dolenti's skills when you rated his performance? A. Yes. Q. What ageist stereotype did you apply in assessing those skills?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 256 12, the two employees, including plaintiff and an employee older than plaintiff, who were selected for surplus were the two oldest employees in AWG 12. Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Now, we went through the ratings that you did of employees who reported to you, correct? A. Yes. Q. And of those employees we discussed the fact that, for example, Mr. Dangleman received higher ratings than Mr. Dolenti in each of the categories, didn't he? A. Yes. Q. And Mr. Dolenti was older than Mr. Dangleman, wasn't he? A. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Dangleman's age play any role in that? A. No.

R&K REPORTING, INC.

Pages 257 to 260

			Pages 257 to 260
	Page 257		Page 259
1	Q. And in doing that, the older employee	1	he would say?
2	in this case was rated lower than the younger	2	A. No.
3	employee?	3	Q. Now, in rating Mr. Dolenti's skills,
4	A. Yes.	4	do you believe that the way you rated him was
5	Q. You testified earlier in response to	5	based on how you felt about his skills?
6	Ms. Burke's questions that you told Mr. Dolenti	6	A. Yes.
7	he needs to connect better with his team.	7	Q. Do you believe that how you felt about
8	Do you remember that?	8	his skills was in any way affected by his age?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. No.
10	Q. What did you tell him about that?	10	Q. When you say how you felt about his
11	What specifically did you discuss him?	11	skills, what did you base it on?
12	A. I don't remember the specific comments	12	A. I don't remember what I based it on at
13	or feedback that I gave him.	13	that specific time.
14	Q. You just remember that you told him he	14	Q. You did rate employees a number of
15	needed to connect better?	15	times over the time
16	A. Yes.	16	A. I'm sorry.
17	Q. What was it that led you to tell him	17	Q. You rated employees a number of times
18	that?	18	over the time you were AT&T, correct?
19	A. I was getting complaints and feedback	19	A. Correct.
20	from his store managers.	20	Q. You would have to rate skills most of
21	Q. The only thing you remember telling	21	those times when you did it?
22	him is that he needs to connect better?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. I'm sure yeah, I mean, I remember	23	Q. And when you say you rated them based
24	telling him that he needed to connect better	24	on how you felt about their skills, what would
	tening initiatine needed to connect setter		on now you reit about their sams, what would
	Page 258		Page 260
1	with his teams. I don't remember the specific	1	you typically base that on?
2	words that I used in the conversations that I	2	A. Based on their ability to do the job,
3	had with him, but that was the general theme.	3	if they have the skill set.
4	Q. And it was that he just	4	Q. And that's what you used in rating
5	A. I'm sorry, I also told him that he	5	Mr. Dolenti?
6	needed to help coach his people, he needed to	6	A. More than likely.
7	help show them how to do things, because the	7	Q. Is there anything else you can think
8	feedback that I was getting was that Don would		
O	recuback that I was getting was that Don would	8	
9	just tell them how to do things, not show them	8 9	of that you would have used? A. For skills?
	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the		of that you would have used?
9	just tell them how to do things, not show them	9	of that you would have used? A. For skills?
9 10	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the	9 10	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes.
9 10 11	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those	9 10 11	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have
9 10 11 12	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes.	9 10 11 12	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in
9 10 11 12 13	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he	9 10 11 12 13	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have
9 10 11 12 13 14	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right? A. That is correct.	9 10 11 12 13 14	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this before? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. What led you to conclude that he	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this before? A. Yes. Q. In terms of what you did for this one,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. What led you to conclude that he wasn't receiving feedback well?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this before? A. Yes. Q. In terms of what you did for this one, was it any different from any of the other
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. What led you to conclude that he wasn't receiving feedback well? A. Because he was very argumentative with	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this before? A. Yes. Q. In terms of what you did for this one, was it any different from any of the other surpluses?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. What led you to conclude that he wasn't receiving feedback well?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this before? A. Yes. Q. In terms of what you did for this one, was it any different from any of the other surpluses? A. The only thing that was different was
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. What led you to conclude that he wasn't receiving feedback well? A. Because he was very argumentative with	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this before? A. Yes. Q. In terms of what you did for this one, was it any different from any of the other surpluses? A. The only thing that was different was that the performance rating was already entered
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just tell them how to do things, not show them how to do things. But I don't remember the specific words that I used when having those conversations. Q. But that was the general idea of it? A. Yes. Q. And I think you also testified that he wasn't receiving feedback well; is that right? A. That is correct. Q. What led you to conclude that he wasn't receiving feedback well? A. Because he was very argumentative with me and he just he just didn't believe what I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of that you would have used? A. For skills? Q. Yes. A. Not that I'm aware of right now. Q. I know you testified, and you may have answered this already, that you were involved in other surpluses and had done ratings like this before? A. Yes. Q. In terms of what you did for this one, was it any different from any of the other surpluses? A. The only thing that was different was

R&K REPORTING, INC.

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 29

FILED UNDER SEAL

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 30

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ALISON RAY

Collegeville, PA 19426,

Plaintiff,

V.

AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES LLC. 1025 Lenox Park Blvd., NE. Atlanta, GA 30319,

Defendant.

No. 2:18-cv-03303-TR

Magistrate Judge Timothy R. Rice

Declaration of Elizabeth Alba

- I am an Associate Director Benefits at AT&T. I submit this declaration in support of AT&T Mobility Services LLC's cross-motion for partial summary judgment in the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this declaration and if called to testify under oath, I could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. In my position at AT&T, I am responsible for the maintenance and general oversight of the Mechanized Employee Surplus Administration system (the "MESA System"). When AT&T undertakes a reduction in force ("RIF"), we use the MESA System to gather information regarding the RIF, populate documents provided in connection with the RIF, and distribute documents related to the RIF to employees affected by it. This is a routine business process at AT&T.
- Each RIF is assigned a Business Case Number. The MESA System was used for Business Case 17-350.

4. The MESA System uses data from CENET, the company's human resources information system. For each RIF, the responsible Human Resources Business Partner ("HRBP") identifies in the MESA System each of the employees who will be considered as part of the RIF. The HRBP also identifies in the MESA System the employees (the "Raters") who will review and assign ratings to the employees who will be considered as part of the RIF.

- 5. The Raters use the MESA System to enter their ratings for each employee whom they are assigned to rate. After the Raters have entered their ratings, the MESA System populates the RIF data with the ratings and sorts the employees within each Affected Work Group ("AWG") from highest-rated to lowest-rated.
- 6. The MESA System automatically identifies the employees within each AWG who are rated the lowest and therefore fall below the line established by the target headcount reduction for that AWG. For example, if the target headcount reduction for an AWG is three, the MESA system will identify the three employees in that AWG who were assigned the lowest ratings by the Raters. Then the HRBP uses the MESA System to select each of those employees for participation in the employment surplus program.
- 7. During some RIFs, employees who are being considered are asked to use the MESA System to notify the company if they are interested in leaving the company as part of the RIF. If an employee uses the MESA System to notify the company that they are interested in leaving as part of the RIF, the MESA System reliably stores that information.
- 8. The MESA System automatically generates an "ADEA Listing" for each RIF, based on the employee records from the CENET system (including job title and age), the AWGs entered by the HRBP, whether or not each employee is selected for participation in the employment surplus program, and whether each employee expressed an interest in leaving.

9. Through the MESA website, the ADEA Listing for each RIF is provided to

employees who are selected for participation in the employment surplus program as part of that

RIF. AT&T preserves the ADEA Listings and maintains them in the ordinary course of

business.

10. In my experience, the MESA System consistently and reliably generates the

ADEA Listings accurately based on data from CENET and the input from the HRBP, the Raters

and the employees expressing an interest in leaving. I have worked on roughly 200 Business

Cases and in every one of those cases the MESA System generated an accurate ADEA Listing.

11. I have reviewed the document attached as Exhibit 7 to the Declaration of Alison

Ray (the "ADEA Listing 17-350"). The ADEA Listing 17-350 is a true and accurate copy of the

ADEA Listing generated by MESA, pursuant to the process described above, for Business Case

ID: 17-350.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29

day of October 2018, in Dallas County, Texas.

Elizabeth allex Elizabeth Alba

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 31





Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Harassment Policies

 $AT\&T\ Proprietary\ (Internal\ Use\ Only)\ Not for use\ or\ disdosure\ outside\ the\ AT\&T\ companies\ except\ under\ written\ agreement.\ 4/1/2016$





Introduction and Policy Statement

AT&T is strongly committed to a workplace that values diversity and inclusion and that is free of discrimination and harassment of any kind. AT&T's EEO and Harassment Policies apply to all AT&T employees.

AT&T employees are protected from unlawful discrimination on the basis of race, color, religious creed, national origin, ancestry, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, gender expression, physical disability, mental disability, pregnancy, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, citizenship status, military status, veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by federal, state, or local laws. For instance, New York City also prohibits discrimination on the basis of creed. AT&T complies with these and other applicable EEO laws, and prohibits unlawful discrimination.

AT&T also strictly prohibits all forms of abusive conduct or workplace harassment, including sexual and racial harassment and harassment based on any of the protected characteristics described above. An employee's actions, words, or behavior must not create an intimidating, hostile, or offensive environment. Employees are not permitted to ridicule, intimidate, threaten, demean, or bully other employees, customers, vendor employees, or any other individuals with whom they interact. Remarks, comments, jokes, slurs, images, or gestures of an offensive nature will not be tolerated.

It is a violation of this policyto unlawfully discriminate against or harass anyone while working on behalf of AT&T, including co-workers, contractors, vendors, or customers.

Employee Responsibilities

AT&T employees are responsible for understanding and complying with the Company's EEO and Harassment Policies. Failure to comply may result in disciplinary action, which can include dismissal even for a first offense.



We all have a responsibility to ensure that AT&T is free of discrimination and harassment. Therefore, employees are responsible for reporting any violations of the EEO and Harassment Policies.

Manager Responsibilities

All managers are responsible for enforcement of the Company's EEO and Harassment Policies by ensuring a work environment free of unlawful discrimination and harassment. If a manager observes or receives any reports or allegations of conduct that could potentially violate the EEO and Harassment Policies, the manager has a responsibility to report it immediately to Human Resources or the AT&T Hotline. Such allegations are investigated by Human Resources professionals. Therefore, managers must always report them immediately and receive guidance from Human Resources or an investigator on the next steps. In addition, supervisors are expected to:

- Ensure coverage of the EEO and Harassment Policies with each direct report.
- Ensure that coverage with each direct report is documented in his/her training history.
- Acknowledge employees' differences and their individual value to AT&T.

Reporting a Concern

Employees should report a violation of the EEO and Harassment Policies to a supervisor, any manager, Human Resources, directly to the AT&T Hotline (1-888-871-2622), or the AT&T Hotline Web Reporting site (www.tnwgrc.com/att).

AT&T has established the AT&T Hotline for reporting EEO or harassment concerns anonymously and confidentially. The Hotline is staffed by an independent third-party vendor contracted by AT&T. The reported complaint will be summarized and forwarded to AT&T for further review.

Reports to the AT&T Hotline will be kept confidential to the extent permitted by law and by the Company's need to properly investigate. If an investigation is undertaken, AT&T will address the matter, and if needed, take appropriate corrective action.

Non-Retaliation Policy

Any individual who suspects an EEO violation and makes a report is acting responsibly in accordance with the EEO and Harassment Policies. The Company forbids retaliation against any person who makes a report or who participates in the investigative process. Allegations of retaliation will be investigated and appropriate action will be taken. Any individual engaging in retaliatory behavior will be subject to disciplinary action, which may include termination of employment. For any suspected retaliation concerns, contact the AT&T Hotline immediately.

Additional information on AT&T's non-retaliation policy can be found at the following link:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=1&page=44

Internal Investigations

AT&T investigates possible violations of federal, state, and local EEO statutes, and AT&T's EEO and Harassment Policies. Employees are required to cooperate fully with the Company's investigations, may not lie to investigators, obstruct the investigation, or retaliate against those who participate. To the extent possible, confidentiality will be maintained consistent with legal and ethical responsibilities on behalf of the Company.

All complaints will be followed by a fair, complete and timely investigation. Remedial action will be taken if any misconduct is found.



Important additional Company guidance on internal investigations should be reviewed at the following link:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=1&page=44&subpage=142

Diversity

Diversity means respecting many backgrounds, cultures, and ways of thinking. AT&T recognizes and embraces all differences—from race, gender, sexual orientation, and mental/physical ability to perspectives, experiences, and outlooks. It is more than the right thing to do—diversity is essential to the success of AT&T in the global marketplace. AT&T employees are responsible for promoting an environment of inclusion: one in which each individual is valued and every voice is heard. Actions that guide employee commitment to diversity include:

- Treating others with respect.
- Encouraging and skillfully incorporating opinions and ideas.
- Viewing differences as as sets.
- Accommodating various strengths.
- Working together in mixed teams to design and implement creative solutions for our business suppliers and customers
- Serving our broad markets effectively and sensitively.
- Creating win-win solutions.
- Being open to many viewpoints, cultures, and lifestyles.
- Participating in wide-ranging activities that support self-development and positive business relationships.

Equal Employment Opportunity

AT&T provides Equal Employment Opportunity for all employees and applicants in the administration of personnel policies such as:

- Appraisals
- Benefits and privileges of employment
- Compensation
- Disciplinary actions
- Recruiting, hiring, placements, upgrades, promotions, and lateral movement
- Social and recreational programs
- Terminations of employment, layoffs, and recalls
- Training and educational assistance
- Working conditions

All employees are prohibited from engaging in any discrimination based on a protected characteristic.

The Americans With Disabilities Act

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal law that prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities. AT&T's policies provide reasonable accommodations to qualified employees with disabilities when medical restrictions preclude them from performing an essential function of their current or desired job, unless the accommodation poses an undue business hardship for AT&T.



Under the law and these policies, AT&T, through the Integrated Disability Service Center (IDSC), engages in an interactive process with employees who may require a reasonable accommodation of a disability as defined under the law. The IDSC has established processes and procedures regarding accommodating disabilities. Supervisors of employees who have requested an accommodation of a disability should refer such employees to the IDSC at 866-276-2278. In certain cases, a leave may be appropriate as an accommodation. Supervisors should consult Human Resources for advice in these situations.

Confidentiality

Confidentiality is assured for applicants and employees regarding medical information, and it will be kept confidential except to the following extent: Supervisors and managers may be informed regarding necessary restrictions on the work or duties of the employee and any necessary reasonable accommodations. First aid and safety personnel may be informed where and to the extent appropriate, if the disability might require emergency treatment or assistance during evacuations. Government officials who conduct compliance reviews may be informed.

All persons with responsibilities that may require information about the disability, physical or mental, a readvised to treat and protect the information as strictly confidential.

More information regarding the job accommodation process may be found at:

http://ebiz.sbc.com/hronestop/index.cfm?fuseaction=Display&type=JAHome

Pregnancy Discrimination

It is illegal and in violation of this policyto discriminate against or harass an employee because of pregnancy. Pregnancy discrimination involves treating a pregnant employee unfavorably because of pregnancy, childbirth, or other medical condition related to pregnancy or childbirth. Employees who are disabled by pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions are entitled to apply for applicable disability benefits.

Religious Discrimination

AT&T's commitment to diversity extends to its commitment to respecting the religious beliefs of its employees. It is illegal and in violation of this policy to discriminate or to harass an employee because of that employee's sincerely held religious beliefs. AT&T's policies provide reasonable accommodations where an employee's sincerely held religious beliefs or practices are impacted by job requirements, unless the accommodation imposes an undue business hardship for AT&T. Supervisors of employees who request a religious accommodation should consult with Human Resources for guidance.

Military Status

 $AT\&T\ respects\ and\ s\ upports\ its\ employees\ who\ serve\ in\ the\ uniformed\ services.\ AT\&T\ employees\ called\ to\ active\ duty\ may\ apply\ for\ a\ military\ service\ leave\ of\ absence\ under\ the\ Company's\ applicable\ leave\ policies.$

Harassment Policy

The Company prohibits all forms of harassmentwhile working on behalf of AT&T. This includes all offensive behavior, whether physical, verbal, written, printed, or displayed as inappropriate objects or images. Remarks, comments, jokes, or gestures of an offensive nature will not be tolerated. Employees are prohibited from using any Company property, including computers, mobile phones, or any other devices, to view, send, or receive offensive material. In addition,



employees are never permitted to display offensive material in the workplace, whether on Company or personal devices. All offensive materials are prohibited, including but not limited to material offensive to another person's gender, race, or other protected characteristic.

Sexual harassment is specifically prohibited in the workplace. In order to eliminate sexual harassment in the workplace, AT&T prohibits <u>all</u> unprofessional behaviors including some that may go beyond the legal definition of sexual harassment.

What is Sexual Harassment?

Sexual harassment may involve unwelcome romantic or sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and/or visual materials, verbal comments, or physical contact of a sexual nature, regardless of gender. Involved parties, either victim or harasser, could be a co-worker, a subordinate, a contractor, or even a customer.

Such conduct is a violation of this policy, regardless whether an employee engaging in such behavior meant it as a joke. Such communications, comments, actions of a sexual nature, or unwelcome advances are prohibited at AT&T, whether or not other employees were offended.

The most obvious examples of sexual harassment involve physical behavior or physical contact. The following is a non-exhaustive list of physical behaviors that may be considered offensive:

- Touching an individual by massaging their neck or shoulders, hugging, kissing, patting, pinching, fondling, or touching/pulling an individual's clothing or hair.
- Physical gestures that imply a sexual actor sexual anatomy; touching oneselfin a sexual manner.
- Brushing up against another person, standing too close, or lingering.

However, sexually harassing behavior does not always involve physical contact. The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of verbal and non-verbal/visual behavior that may be considered offensive:

- Suggestive behavior such as "elevator eyes" (looking a person up and down), leering, staring, sexual gestures, whistling, cat-calls, winking, throwing kisses, making kissing sounds, howling, groaning, or smacking/licking lips.
- Sexual comments or innuendoes about clothing, anatomy, appearance, or sexual jokes or stories.
- Discussions or inquiries about sexual fantasy, preferences, history, or sex life; participation in workplace rumors about an individual's sex life.
- Displaying sexually suggestive objects or pictures in the workplace.
- Repeated invitations and/or pressuring for dates or sexual favors; harassing phone calls, e-mails, or other communication.
- Giving personal gifts that imply an intimate relationship.
- Sending sexually suggestive communications (e.g., instant messaging, Company message portals and/or devices, notes, letters, texts, e-mails); displaying or transmitting suggestive visual materials (e.g., pictures, calendars, posters).
- Stalking, following, or blocking an individual's path.

In addition, it is a violation of this policy, and the law, for any employee to <u>ever_state</u>, imply, or suggest that dating or engaging in sexual conduct with another employee could result in a workplace benefit such as a promotion, raise, better terms and conditions of employment – <u>or that</u> a refusal to date or engage in sexual conduct will negatively affect a person's conditions of employment or career.



AT&T's harassment rules apply in the workplace and in work-related settings outside the workplace, such as business trips, customer visits, social events, or other functions. AT&T also does not tolerate such conduct from outside vendors and other providers of goods or services to AT&T when they are working in AT&T-related settings.

Personal Relationships Policy

In accordance with AT&T's Harassment and Conflict of Interest Policies, a dating relationship, or any special personal relationship, should never exist between a supervisor and an employee for whom the manager has some responsibility, including employees who report directly or indirectly within that supervisor's chain of command. In other words, a manager and another employee above or below that manager in the same chain of command, even if not directly above or below that manager, may not have a romantic or other close, personal relationship. This includes any manager who has influence over the employee's work, productivity, or terms and conditions of employment, such as an employee's trainer, manager who regularly fills inas a relieving manager over the employee, or a manager who has any input regarding the employee's performance. Moreover, such a relationship should never exist between an AT&T employee and a vendor employee where that AT&T employee has some responsibility for recommending the services of or interacting with that vendor or providing input on the vendor's work. These relationships can interfere with the supervisor's independent judgment, create employee morale problems, ethical issues, or conflict of interest, and may create a hostile work environment.

To avoid these problems and to foster a positive team environment, a personal relationship that may create a potential conflict or the appearance of a conflict under this policy must be reported immediately to Human Resources. After reviewing the facts, the Company will take appropriate action, which could include transfer of either employee through job reassignment or voluntary resignation. Failure to disclose the relationship immediately or comply with the Company's decision is a violation of the EEO and Harassment Policies and the Code of Business Conduct (COBC) Conflict of Interest Policy, and may result in discipline up to and including dismissal.

More information may be found at:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=7&page=99

Sexual Harassment Prevention Training

Certain states require employers to provide sexual harassment training for employees. More information may be found at:

https://intra.att.com/hronestopadmin/doc/State Requirements for Harassment Training.pdf

Additional Complaint Procedures

In addition to internal Company reporting methods, employees have the right to file a charge of discrimination with a federal, state, or local agency. All charges of discrimination in which the Company is identified as a Respondent, including charges received by a Company field location, should be immediately directed to the EEO group (which is responsible for all Company communication with the agencies) at eeooffice@att.com.

For California Employees and Their Supervisors:

California state law requires employers to distribute to employees in California certain information about sexual harassment. This information is available on the brochure titled "Sexual Harassment: The Facts About Sexual Harassment" (DFEH-185) and is available at



https://spfd03.web.att.com/sites/MandatoryPosters/Mandatory%20Facility%20Posters/California%20Posters/CA%20DFE H-185%20Sexual%20Harassment.pdf. Please note that all California employees and their supervisors are required to access this brochure and review the information.

Supervisors, managers, co-workers and third parties are prohibited from engaging in unlawful behavior under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.

California employees or job applicants who believe that they have been sexually harassed may file a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) within one year of the alleged harassment. For more information, contact the DFEH toll free at (800) 884-1684, Sacramento and out of state at (916) 478-7200, or TTY at (800) 700-2320. You may also contact the DFEH via email at contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov or visit the DFEH's Web site at http://www.dfeh.ca.gov/.

California law requires employers to provide employees notice of their rights and obligations under the Fair Employment and Housing Act with respect to pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions. This information is available in Notice B, "Family Care and Medical Leave and Pregnancy Disability Leave" and is available at https://spfd03.web.att.com/sites/MandatoryPosters/MandatoryW20Facility%20Posters/California%20Posters/California%20FMLA.pdf. Please note that all California employees and their supervisors are required to access this notice and review the information.

Frequently Asked Questions

Your Responsibility as an Employee and/or Supervisor

- Q. Are all AT&T employees responsible for ensuring their actions are conducted in accordance with AT&T's Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Harassment Policies?
- A. Yes. Failure to comply with these policies may result in disciplinary action, which can include dismissal even for a first offense. Supervisors are responsible for ensuring the work environment is free of unlawful discrimination and harassment.
- Q. If you believe you have been subjected to unlawful discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation or have knowledge of conduct prohibited under the Policy, are you responsible for reporting the matter?
- A. Yes. We are all responsible for speaking up if we believe that someone at AT&T has violated the EEO and Harassment policies. If a manager observes or receives any reports or allegations of conduct which could potentially violate the EEO and Harassment policies, the manager has a responsibility to report it immediately to Human Resources or the AT&T Hotline.

Non-Retaliation Policy

- Q. Are employees who raise concerns or who participate in an internal investigation protected from retaliation?
- A. Yes. Any individual who seeks advice, raises a concern, or reports an EEO concern is following the EEO and Harassment Policies. AT&T will not tolerate retaliation against such a person.

Internal Investigations

- Q. Are employees guaranteed complete confidentiality or anonymity?
- A. Investigators will maintain confidentiality or anonymity to the extent allowable by law and where the confidentiality and anonymity does not impede the integrity of the investigation.



Sexual and Other Harassment

- Q. Is it okay for a supervisor and a subordinate to have a close personal relationship or a dating relationship?
- A. No. Any dating relationship or close personal relationship within the chain of command is prohibited. This also includes a supervisor who has influence over an employee's work, productivity, or terms and conditions of employment. Failure to report the relationship immediately is a violation of the policy.
- Q. Can sexual harassment occur between individuals of the same sex?
- A. Yes. Sexual harassment can happen between individuals of the same sex as well as the opposite sex.
- Q. Are jokes about sex, race, age, religion, ethnicity, or any other jokes made at the expense of others appropriate in the work place?
- A. No. Jokes made at the expense of any person or class of persons are prohibited.

Disability

- Q. Does AT&T accommodate disabilities?
- A. Yes. It is AT&T's policy to provide reasonable accommodations to qualified employees with disabilities when medical restrictions preclude them from performing an essential function of their current or desired job, unless the accommodation poses an undue business hardship for AT&T.

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 32





Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Harassment Policies

 $AT\&T\ Proprietary\ (Internal\ Use\ Only)\ Not\ for\ use\ or\ disclosure\ outside\ the\ AT\&T\ companies\ except\ under\ written\ agreement.\ 7/13/2018$





Introduction and Policy Statement

AT&T is strongly committed to a workplace that values diversity and inclusion and that is free of discrimination and harassment of any kind. AT&T's EEO and Harassment Policies apply to all AT&T employees.

AT&T employees are protected from unlawful discrimination on the basis of race, color, religious creed, national origin, ancestry, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, gender expression, physical disability, mental disability, pregnancy, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, citizenship status, military status, veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by federal, state, or local laws. For instance, New York City also prohibits discrimination on the basis of creed. AT&T complies with these and other applicable EEO laws, and prohibits unlawful discrimination.

AT&T also strictly prohibits all forms of abusive conduct or workplace harassment, including sexual and racial harassment and harassment based on any of the protected characteristics described above. An employee's actions, words, or behavior must not create an intimidating, hostile, or offensive environment. Employees are not permitted to ridicule, intimidate, threaten, demean, or bully other employees, customers, vendor employees, or any other individuals with whom they interact. Remarks, comments, jokes, slurs, images, gestures, messages, or social media posts of an offensive nature will not be tolerated.

It is a violation of this policy to unlawfully discriminate against or harass anyone while working on behalf of AT&T, including co-workers, contractors, vendors, or customers.

Employee Responsibilities

AT&T employees are responsible for understanding and complying with the Company's EEO and Harassment Policies. Failure to comply may result in disciplinary action, which can include dismissal even for a first offense.



We all have a responsibility to ensure that AT&T is free of discrimination and harassment. Therefore, employees are responsible for reporting any violations of the EEO and Harassment Policies.

Manager Responsibilities

All managers are responsible for enforcement of the Company's EEO and Harassment Policies by ensuring a work environment free of unlawful discrimination and harassment. If a manager observes or receives any reports or allegations of conduct that could potentially violate the EEO and Harassment Policies, the manager has a responsibility to report it immediately to Human Resources or the AT&T Hotline. Such allegations are investigated by a team of EEO investigators. Therefore, managers must always report them immediately and receive guidance from an investigator on the next steps. In addition, supervisors are expected to:

- Ensure coverage of the EEO and Harassment Policies with each direct report.
- Ensure that coverage with each direct report is documented in his/her training history.
- Acknowledge employees' differences and their individual value to AT&T.

Reporting a Concern

Employees should report a violation of the EEO and Harassment Policies to a supervisor, any manager, Human Resources, directly to the AT&T Hotline (1-888-871-2622), or the AT&T Hotline Web Reporting site

https://app.mycompliancereport.com/report.aspx?cid=att.

AT&T has established the AT&T Hotline for reporting EEO or harassment concerns anonymously and confidentially. The Hotline is staffed by an independent third-party vendor contracted by AT&T. The reported complaint will be summarized and forwarded to AT&T for further review.

Reports to the AT&T Hotline will be kept confidential to the extent permitted by law and by the Company's need to properly investigate. If an investigation is undertaken, and if needed, AT&T will take appropriate corrective action.

Non-Retaliation Policy

Any individual who suspects an EEO violation and makes a report is acting responsibly in accordance with the EEO and Harassment Policies. The Company forbids retaliation against any person who makes a report or who participates in the investigative process. Allegations of retaliation will be investigated and appropriate action will be taken. Any individual engaging in retaliatory behavior will be subject to disciplinary action, which may include termination of employment. For any suspected retaliation concerns, contact the AT&T Hotline immediately.

Additional information on AT&T's non-retaliation policy can be found at the following link:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=1&page=44

Internal Investigations

AT&T investigates possible violations of federal, state, and local EEO statutes, and AT&T's EEO and Harassment Policies. Employees are required to cooperate fully with the Company's investigations, may not lie to investigators, obstruct the investigation, or retaliate against those who participate. Anyone who refuses to cooperate with an investigation, lies to investigators, obstructs or attempts to obstruct an investigation, or engages in retaliatory conduct will be subject to discipline, including termination. To the extent possible, confidentiality will be maintained consistent with legal and ethical responsibilities on behalf of the Company.

All complaints will be investigated and remedial action will be taken if any misconduct is found.



Important additional Company guidance on internal investigations should be reviewed at the following link:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=1&page=44&subpage=142

Diversity

Diversity means respecting many backgrounds, cultures, and ways of thinking. AT&T recognizes and embraces all differences – from race, gender, sexual orientation, and mental/physical ability to perspectives, experiences, and outlooks. It is more than the right thing to do – diversity is essential to the success of AT&T in the global marketplace. AT&T employees are responsible for promoting an environment of inclusion: one in which each individual is valued and every voice is heard. Actions that guide employee commitment to diversity include:

- Treating others with respect.
- Encouraging and skillfully incorporating opinions and ideas.
- Viewing differences as assets.
- Accommodating various strengths.
- Working together in mixed teams to design and implement creative solutions for our business suppliers and customers.
- Serving our broad markets effectively and sensitively.
- Creating win-win solutions.
- Being open to many viewpoints, cultures, and lifestyles.
- Participating in wide-ranging activities that support self-development and positive business relationships.

Equal Employment Opportunity

AT&T provides Equal Employment Opportunity for all employees and applicants in the administration of personnel policies such as:

- Appraisals
- Benefits and privileges of employment
- Compensation and benefits
- Disciplinary actions
- Recruiting, hiring, placements, upgrades, promotions, and lateral movement
- Social and recreational programs
- Terminations of employment, layoffs, and recalls
- Training and educational assistance
- Working conditions

All employees are prohibited from engaging in any discrimination based on a protected characteristic.

The Americans With Disabilities Act

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal law that prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities. AT&T's policies provide reasonable accommodations to qualified employees with disabilities when medical restrictions preclude them from performing an essential function of their current or desired job, unless the accommodation poses an undue business hardship for AT&T.



Under the law and these policies, AT&T, through the Integrated Disability Service Center (IDSC), engages in an interactive process with employees who may require a reasonable accommodation of a disability as defined under the law. The IDSC has established processes and procedures regarding accommodating disabilities. Supervisors of employees who have requested an accommodation of a disability should refer such employees to the IDSC at 866-276-2278. In certain cases, a leave may be appropriate as an accommodation. Supervisors should consult Human Resources for advice in these situations.

Confidentiality

Confidentiality is assured for applicants and employees regarding medical information, and it will be kept confidential except to the following extent: Supervisors and managers may be informed regarding necessary restrictions on the work or duties of the employee and any necessary reasonable accommodations. First aid and safety personnel may be informed where and to the extent appropriate, if the disability might require emergency treatment or assistance during evacuations. Government officials who conduct compliance reviews may be informed.

All persons with responsibilities that may require information about the disability, physical or mental, are advised to treat and protect the information as strictly confidential.

More information regarding the job accommodation process may be found at https://www.e-access.att.com/hronestop/group/hr-onestop/job-accommodations

Pregnancy Discrimination

It is illegal and in violation of this policy to discriminate against or harass an employee because of pregnancy. Pregnancy discrimination involves treating a pregnant employee unfavorably because of pregnancy, childbirth, or other medical condition related to pregnancy or childbirth, including but not limited to lactation. Employees who are disabled by pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions are entitled to apply for applicable disability benefits.

Religious Discrimination

AT&T's commitment to diversity extends to its commitment to respecting the religious beliefs of its employees. It is illegal and in violation of this policy to discriminate or to harass an employee because of that employee's sincerely held religious beliefs. AT&T's policies provide reasonable accommodations where an employee's sincerely held religious beliefs or practices are impacted by job requirements, unless the accommodation imposes an undue business hardship for AT&T. Supervisors of employees who request a religious accommodation should consult with Human Resources for guidance.

Military Status

AT&T respects and supports its employees who serve in the uniformed services. AT&T employees called to active duty may apply for a military service leave of absence under the Company's applicable leave policies.

Harassment Policy

The Company prohibits all forms of harassment while working on behalf of AT&T. This includes all offensive behavior, whether physical, verbal, written, printed, or displayed as inappropriate objects or images. Remarks, comments, jokes, gestures, messages or social media posts of an offensive nature will not be tolerated. Employees are prohibited from using any Company property, including computers, mobile phones, or any other devices, to view, send, or receive offensive material. In addition,



employees are never permitted to display offensive material in the workplace, whether on Company or personal devices. All offensive materials are prohibited, including but not limited to material offensive to another person's gender, race, or other protected characteristic.

Sexual harassment is specifically prohibited in the workplace. In order to eliminate sexual harassment in the workplace, AT&T prohibits all unprofessional behaviors including some that may go beyond the legal definition of sexual harassment.

What is Sexual Harassment?

Sexual harassment may involve unwelcome romantic or sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and/or visual materials, verbal comments, or physical contact of a sexual nature, regardless of gender. Involved parties, either victim or harasser, could be a co-worker, a subordinate, manager/supervisor, a contractor, or even a customer.

Such conduct is a violation of this policy, even in instances where the offending employee may have acted jokingly. Such communications, comments, actions of a sexual nature, or unwelcome advances are prohibited at AT&T, whether or not other employees were offended.

The most obvious examples of sexual harassment involve physical behavior or physical contact. The following is a non-exhaustive list of physical behaviors that may be considered offensive:

- Touching an individual by massaging their neck or shoulders, hugging, kissing, patting, pinching, fondling, or touching/pulling an individual's clothing or hair.
- Physical gestures that imply a sexual act or sexual anatomy; touching oneself in a sexual manner.
- Brushing up against another person, standing too close, or lingering.

However, sexually harassing behavior does not always involve physical contact. The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of verbal and non-verbal/visual behavior that may be considered offensive:

- Suggestive behavior such as "elevator eyes" (looking a person up and down), leering, staring, sexual gestures, whistling, cat-calls, winking, throwing kisses, making kissing sounds, howling, groaning, or smacking/licking lips.
- Sexual comments or innuendoes about clothing, anatomy, appearance, or sexual jokes or stories.
- Discussions or inquiries about sexual fantasy, preferences, history, or sex life about self or others.
- Displaying sexually suggestive objects or pictures in the workplace.
- Repeated invitations and/or pressuring for dates or sexual favors; harassing phone calls, e-mails, or other communication.
- Giving personal gifts that imply an intimate relationship.
- Sending sexually suggestive communications (e.g., instant messaging, Company message portals and/or devices, notes, letters, texts, e-mails); displaying or transmitting suggestive visual materials (e.g., pictures, calendars, posters).
- Stalking, following, or blocking an individual's path.

In addition, it is a violation of this policy, and the law, for any employee to ever_state, imply, or suggest that dating or engaging in sexual conduct with another employee could result in a workplace benefit such as a promotion, raise, better terms and conditions of employment – or that a refusal to date or engage in sexual conduct will negatively affect a person's conditions of employment or career.



AT&T's Harassment policy and COBC rules apply in the workplace and in work-related settings outside the workplace, such as business trips, customer visits, social events, or other functions. AT&T also does not tolerate such conduct from outside vendors and other providers of goods or services to AT&T when they are working in AT&T-related settings.

Personal Relationships Policy

In accordance with AT&T's Harassment and Conflict of Interest Policies, a dating relationship, or any special personal relationship, should never exist between a supervisor and an employee for whom the manager has some responsibility, including employees who report directly or indirectly within that supervisor's chain of command. In other words, a manager and another employee above or below that manager in the same chain of command, even if not directly above or below that manager, may not have a romantic or other close, personal relationship. This includes any manager who has influence over the employee's work, productivity, or terms and conditions of employment, such as an employee's trainer, manager who regularly fills in as a relieving manager over the employee, or a manager who has any input regarding the employee's performance. Moreover, such a relationship should never exist between an AT&T employee and a vendor employee where that AT&T employee has some responsibility for recommending the services of or interacting with that vendor or providing input on the vendor's work. These relationships can interfere with the supervisor's independent judgment, create employee morale problems, ethical issues, or conflict of interest, and may create a hostile work environment.

To avoid these problems and to foster a positive team environment, a personal relationship that may create a potential conflict or the appearance of a conflict under this policy must be reported immediately to Human Resources. After reviewing the facts, the Company will take appropriate action, which could include transfer of either employee through job reassignment or voluntary resignation. Failure to disclose the relationship immediately or comply with the Company's decision is a violation of the EEO and Harassment Policies and the Code of Business Conduct (COBC) Conflict of Interest Policy, and may result in discipline up to and including dismissal.

More information may be found at:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=7&page=99

Sexual Harassment Prevention Training

Certain states require employers to provide sexual harassment training for employees. More information may be found at:

https://www.e-

access.att.com/hronestop/documents/33859/10774655/State_Requirements_for_Harassment_Training.pdf/fa7d0d55-41db-ca77-3818-0abdd0de5e81

Additional Complaint Procedures

In addition to internal Company reporting methods, employees have the right to file a charge of discrimination with a federal, state, or local agency. All charges of discrimination in which the Company is identified as a Respondent, including charges received by a Company field location, should be immediately directed to the EEO group (which is responsible for all Company communication with the agencies) at eeooffice@att.com.

For Illinois Employees and Their Supervisors:

In addition to the reporting channels identified above, Illinois employees may also report allegations of sexual harassment to the Illinois Secretary of State Inspector General's Office {(217) 785-2012 or (630) 424-2564} or the Department of Human Rights {Chicago office: (312) 814-6200 or Springfield office (217) 785-5100}. Whistleblower protections are also available under the Illinois Whistleblower Act and the Illinois Human Rights Act.



For California Employees and Their Supervisors:

California state law requires employers to distribute to employees in California certain information about sexual harassment. This information is available on the brochure titled "Sexual Harassment: The Facts About Sexual Harassment" (DFEH-185) and is available at

https://www.e-access.att.com/hronestop/documents/33859/10774655/CA_SexualHarassmentPamphlet.pdf/1238b39c-77a0-2049-9e4b-06d7cbaeb641. Please note that all California employees and their supervisors are required to access this brochure and review the information.

Supervisors, managers, co-workers and third parties are prohibited from engaging in unlawful behavior under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.

California employees or job applicants who believe that they have been sexually harassed may file a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) within one year of the alleged harassment. For more information, contact the DFEH toll free at (800) 884-1684, Sacramento and out of state at (916) 478-7200, or TTY at (800) 700-2320. You may also contact the DFEH via email at contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov or visit the DFEH's Web site at https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/.

California law requires employers to provide employees notice of their rights and obligations under the Fair Employment and Housing Act with respect to pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions. This information is available in Notice B, "Family Care and Medical Leave and Pregnancy Disability Leave" and is available at https://www.e-

access.att.com/hronestop/documents/33859/10774655/CA Rights Obligations Pregnant Employee.pdf/4338c358-b600-51c1-b9a4-c1b311eb2eb2. Please note that all California employees and their supervisors are required to access this notice and review the information.

For New Jersey Employees and Their Supervisors:

The state of New Jersey requires that all employers notify employees annually of the New Jersey Gender Equity in Pay, Compensation, Benefits or Other Terms or Conditions of Employment Notification. New Jersey and Federal laws prohibit employers from discriminating against an individual with respect to his/her pay, compensation, benefits, or terms, conditions, or privileges of employment because of the individual's gender. This information is available on the NJ Gender Equity notification and is available at https://www.e-

access.att.com/hronestop/documents/33859/10774655/NJ Gender Equity.pdf/c538fad7-4af6-1671-7c4d-179754e945b4

Frequently Asked Questions

Your Responsibility as an Employee and/or Supervisor

Q. Are all AT&T employees responsible for ensuring their actions are conducted in accordance with AT&T's Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Harassment Policies?

A. Yes. Failure to comply with these policies may result in disciplinary action, which can include dismissal even for a first offense. Supervisors are responsible for ensuring the work environment is free of unlawful discrimination and harassment.

Q. If you believe you have been subjected to unlawful discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation or have knowledge of conduct prohibited under the Policy, are you responsible for reporting the matter?

A. Yes. We are all responsible for speaking up if we believe that someone at AT&T has violated the EEO and Harassment Policies. If a manager observes or receives any reports or allegations of conduct which could potentially violate the EEO and Harassment policies, the manager has a responsibility to report it immediately to Human Resources or the AT&T



Hotline at 1-888-871-2622.

Non-Retaliation Policy

- Q. Are employees who raise concerns or who participate in an internal investigation protected from retaliation?
- A. Yes. Any individual who seeks advice, raises a concern, or reports an EEO concern is following the EEO and Harassment Policies. AT&T will not tolerate retaliation against such a person.

Internal Investigations

- Q. Are employees guaranteed complete confidentiality or anonymity?
- A. Investigators will maintain confidentiality or anonymity to the extent allowable by law and where the confidentiality and anonymity does not impede the integrity of the investigation.

Sexual and Other Harassment

- Q. Is it okay for a supervisor and a subordinate (including contractors) to have a close personal relationship or a dating relationship?
- A. No. Any dating relationship or close personal relationship within the chain of command is prohibited. This also includes a supervisor who has influence over an employee's work, productivity, or terms and conditions of employment. Failure by either party to report the relationship immediately is a violation of the policy.
- Q. Can sexual harassment occur between individuals of the same sex?
- A. Yes. Sexual harassment can happen between individuals of the same sex as well as the opposite sex.
- Q. Are jokes about sex, race, age, religion, ethnicity, or any other jokes made at the expense of others appropriate in the work place?
- A. No. Jokes made at the expense of any person or class of persons are prohibited.

Disability

- Q. Does AT&T accommodate disabilities?
- A. Yes. It is AT&T's policy to provide reasonable accommodations to qualified employees with disabilities when medical restrictions preclude them from performing an essential function of their current or desired job, unless the accommodation poses an undue business hardship for AT&T.

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 33





Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Harassment Policies

 $AT\&T\ Proprietary\ (Internal\ Use\ Only)\ Not\ for\ use\ or\ disclosure\ outside\ the\ AT\&T\ companies\ except\ under\ written\ agreement.\ \ 1/1/2018$





Introduction and Policy Statement

AT&T is strongly committed to a workplace that values diversity and inclusion and that is free of discrimination and harassment of any kind. AT&T's EEO and Harassment Policies apply to all AT&T employees.

AT&T employees are protected from unlawful discrimination on the basis of race, color, religious creed, national origin, ancestry, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, gender expression, physical disability, mental disability, pregnancy, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, citizenship status, military status, veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by federal, state, or local laws. For instance, New York City also prohibits discrimination on the basis of creed. AT&T complies with these and other applicable EEO laws, and prohibits unlawful discrimination.

AT&T also strictly prohibits all forms of abusive conduct or workplace harassment, including sexual and racial harassment and harassment based on any of the protected characteristics described above. An employee's actions, words, or behavior must not create an intimidating, hostile, or offensive environment. Employees are not permitted to ridicule, intimidate, threaten, demean, or bully other employees, customers, vendor employees, or any other individuals with whom they interact. Remarks, comments, jokes, slurs, images, or gestures of an offensive nature will not be tolerated.

It is a violation of this policy to unlawfully discriminate against or harass anyone while working on behalf of AT&T, including co-workers, contractors, vendors, or customers.

Employee Responsibilities

AT&T employees are responsible for understanding and complying with the Company's EEO and Harassment Policies. Failure to comply may result in disciplinary action, which can include dismissal even for a first offense.



We all have a responsibility to ensure that AT&T is free of discrimination and harassment. Therefore, employees are responsible for reporting any violations of the EEO and Harassment Policies.

Manager Responsibilities

All managers are responsible for enforcement of the Company's EEO and Harassment Policies by ensuring a work environment free of unlawful discrimination and harassment. If a manager observes or receives any reports or allegations of conduct that could potentially violate the EEO and Harassment Policies, the manager has a responsibility to report it immediately to Human Resources or the AT&T Hotline. Such allegations are investigated by Human Resources professionals. Therefore, managers must always report them immediately and receive guidance from Human Resources or an investigator on the next steps. In addition, supervisors are expected to:

- Ensure coverage of the EEO and Harassment Policies with each direct report.
- Ensure that coverage with each direct report is documented in his/her training history.
- Acknowledge employees' differences and their individual value to AT&T.

Reporting a Concern

Employees should report a violation of the EEO and Harassment Policies to a supervisor, any manager, Human Resources, directly to the AT&T Hotline (1-888-871-2622), or the AT&T Hotline Web Reporting site https://app.mycompliancereport.com/report.aspx?cid=att.

AT&T has established the AT&T Hotline for reporting EEO or harassment concerns anonymously and confidentially. The Hotline is staffed by an independent third-party vendor contracted by AT&T. The reported complaint will be summarized and forwarded to AT&T for further review.

Reports to the AT&T Hotline will be kept confidential to the extent permitted by law and by the Company's need to properly investigate. If an investigation is undertaken, AT&T will address the matter, and if needed, take appropriate corrective action.

Non-Retaliation Policy

Any individual who suspects an EEO violation and makes a report is acting responsibly in accordance with the EEO and Harassment Policies. The Company forbids retaliation against any person who makes a report or who participates in the investigative process. Allegations of retaliation will be investigated and appropriate action will be taken. Any individual engaging in retaliatory behavior will be subject to disciplinary action, which may include termination of employment. For any suspected retaliation concerns, contact the AT&T Hotline immediately.

Additional information on AT&T's non-retaliation policy can be found at the following link:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=1&page=44

Internal Investigations

AT&T investigates possible violations of federal, state, and local EEO statutes, and AT&T's EEO and Harassment Policies. Employees are required to cooperate fully with the Company's investigations, may not lie to investigators, obstruct the investigation, or retaliate against those who participate. To the extent possible, confidentiality will be maintained consistent with legal and ethical responsibilities on behalf of the Company.

All complaints will be followed by a fair, complete and timely investigation. Remedial action will be taken if any misconduct is found.



Important additional Company guidance on internal investigations should be reviewed at the following link:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=1&page=44&subpage=142

Diversity

Diversity means respecting many backgrounds, cultures, and ways of thinking. AT&T recognizes and embraces all differences – from race, gender, sexual orientation, and mental/physical ability to perspectives, experiences, and outlooks. It is more than the right thing to do – diversity is essential to the success of AT&T in the global marketplace. AT&T employees are responsible for promoting an environment of inclusion: one in which each individual is valued and every voice is heard. Actions that guide employee commitment to diversity include:

- Treating others with respect.
- Encouraging and skillfully incorporating opinions and ideas.
- Viewing differences as assets.
- Accommodating various strengths.
- Working together in mixed teams to design and implement creative solutions for our business suppliers and customers.
- Serving our broad markets effectively and sensitively.
- Creating win-win solutions.
- Being open to many viewpoints, cultures, and lifestyles.
- Participating in wide-ranging activities that support self-development and positive business relationships.

Equal Employment Opportunity

AT&T provides Equal Employment Opportunity for all employees and applicants in the administration of personnel policies such as:

- Appraisals
- Benefits and privileges of employment
- Compensation
- Disciplinary actions
- Recruiting, hiring, placements, upgrades, promotions, and lateral movement
- Social and recreational programs
- Terminations of employment, layoffs, and recalls
- Training and educational assistance
- Working conditions

All employees are prohibited from engaging in any discrimination based on a protected characteristic.

The Americans With Disabilities Act

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a federal law that prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities. AT&T's policies provide reasonable accommodations to qualified employees with disabilities when medical restrictions preclude them from performing an essential function of their current or desired job, unless the accommodation poses an undue business hardship for AT&T.



Under the law and these policies, AT&T, through the Integrated Disability Service Center (IDSC), engages in an interactive process with employees who may require a reasonable accommodation of a disability as defined under the law. The IDSC has established processes and procedures regarding accommodating disabilities. Supervisors of employees who have requested an accommodation of a disability should refer such employees to the IDSC at 866-276-2278. In certain cases, a leave may be appropriate as an accommodation. Supervisors should consult Human Resources for advice in these situations.

Confidentiality

Confidentiality is assured for applicants and employees regarding medical information, and it will be kept confidential except to the following extent: Supervisors and managers may be informed regarding necessary restrictions on the work or duties of the employee and any necessary reasonable accommodations. First aid and safety personnel may be informed where and to the extent appropriate, if the disability might require emergency treatment or assistance during evacuations. Government officials who conduct compliance reviews may be informed.

All persons with responsibilities that may require information about the disability, physical or mental, are advised to treat and protect the information as strictly confidential.

More information regarding the job accommodation process may be found at: http://ebiz.sbc.com/hronestop/index.cfm?fuseaction=Display&type=JAHome.

Pregnancy Discrimination

It is illegal and in violation of this policy to discriminate against or harass an employee because of pregnancy. Pregnancy discrimination involves treating a pregnant employee unfavorably because of pregnancy, childbirth, or other medical condition related to pregnancy or childbirth. Employees who are disabled by pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions are entitled to apply for applicable disability benefits.

Religious Discrimination

AT&T's commitment to diversity extends to its commitment to respecting the religious beliefs of its employees. It is illegal and in violation of this policy to discriminate or to harass an employee because of that employee's sincerely held religious beliefs. AT&T's policies provide reasonable accommodations where an employee's sincerely held religious beliefs or practices are impacted by job requirements, unless the accommodation imposes an undue business hardship for AT&T. Supervisors of employees who request a religious accommodation should consult with Human Resources for guidance.

Military Status

AT&T respects and supports its employees who serve in the uniformed services. AT&T employees called to active duty may apply for a military service leave of absence under the Company's applicable leave policies.

Harassment Policy

The Company prohibits all forms of harassment while working on behalf of AT&T. This includes all offensive behavior, whether physical, verbal, written, printed, or displayed as inappropriate objects or images. Remarks, comments, jokes, or gestures of an offensive nature will not be tolerated. Employees are prohibited from using any Company property, including computers, mobile phones, or any other devices, to view, send, or receive offensive material. In addition,



employees are never permitted to display offensive material in the workplace, whether on Company or personal devices. All offensive materials are prohibited, including but not limited to material offensive to another person's gender, race, or other protected characteristic.

Sexual harassment is specifically prohibited in the workplace. In order to eliminate sexual harassment in the workplace, AT&T prohibits all unprofessional behaviors including some that may go beyond the legal definition of sexual harassment.

What is Sexual Harassment?

Sexual harassment may involve unwelcome romantic or sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and/or visual materials, verbal comments, or physical contact of a sexual nature, regardless of gender. Involved parties, either victim or harasser, could be a co-worker, a subordinate, a contractor, or even a customer.

Such conduct is a violation of this policy, regardless whether an employee engaging in such behavior meant it as a joke. Such communications, comments, actions of a sexual nature, or unwelcome advances are prohibited at AT&T, whether or not other employees were offended.

The most obvious examples of sexual harassment involve physical behavior or physical contact. The following is a non-exhaustive list of physical behaviors that may be considered offensive:

- Touching an individual by massaging their neck or shoulders, hugging, kissing, patting, pinching, fondling, or touching/pulling an individual's clothing or hair.
- Physical gestures that imply a sexual act or sexual anatomy; touching oneself in a sexual manner.
- Brushing up against another person, standing too close, or lingering.

However, sexually harassing behavior does not always involve physical contact. The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of verbal and non-verbal/visual behavior that may be considered offensive:

- Suggestive behavior such as "elevator eyes" (looking a person up and down), leering, staring, sexual gestures, whistling, cat-calls, winking, throwing kisses, making kissing sounds, howling, groaning, or smacking/licking lips.
- Sexual comments or innuendoes about clothing, anatomy, appearance, or sexual jokes or stories.
- Discussions or inquiries about sexual fantasy, preferences, history, or sex life; participation in workplace rumors about an individual's sex life.
- Displaying sexually suggestive objects or pictures in the workplace.
- Repeated invitations and/or pressuring for dates or sexual favors; harassing phone calls, e-mails, or other communication.
- Giving personal gifts that imply an intimate relationship.
- Sending sexually suggestive communications (e.g., instant messaging, Company message portals and/or devices, notes, letters, texts, e-mails); displaying or transmitting suggestive visual materials (e.g., pictures, calendars, posters).
- Stalking, following, or blocking an individual's path.

In addition, it is a violation of this policy, and the law, for any employee to <u>ever</u> state, imply, or suggest that dating or engaging in sexual conduct with another employee could result in a workplace benefit such as a promotion, raise, better terms and conditions of employment – <u>or that</u> a refusal to date or engage in sexual conduct will negatively affect a person's conditions of employment or career.



AT&T's harassment rules apply in the workplace and in work-related settings outside the workplace, such as business trips, customer visits, social events, or other functions. AT&T also does not tolerate such conduct from outside vendors and other providers of goods or services to AT&T when they are working in AT&T-related settings.

Personal Relationships Policy

In accordance with AT&T's Harassment and Conflict of Interest Policies, a dating relationship, or any special personal relationship, should never exist between a supervisor and an employee for whom the manager has some responsibility, including employees who report directly or indirectly within that supervisor's chain of command. In other words, a manager and another employee above or below that manager in the same chain of command, even if not directly above or below that manager, may not have a romantic or other close, personal relationship. This includes any manager who has influence over the employee's work, productivity, or terms and conditions of employment, such as an employee's trainer, manager who regularly fills in as a relieving manager over the employee, or a manager who has any input regarding the employee's performance. Moreover, such a relationship should never exist between an AT&T employee and a vendor employee where that AT&T employee has some responsibility for recommending the services of or interacting with that vendor or providing input on the vendor's work. These relationships can interfere with the supervisor's independent judgment, create employee morale problems, ethical issues, or conflict of interest, and may create a hostile work environment.

To avoid these problems and to foster a positive team environment, a personal relationship that may create a potential conflict or the appearance of a conflict under this policy must be reported immediately to Human Resources. After reviewing the facts, the Company will take appropriate action, which could include transfer of either employee through job reassignment or voluntary resignation. Failure to disclose the relationship immediately or comply with the Company's decision is a violation of the EEO and Harassment Policies and the Code of Business Conduct (COBC) Conflict of Interest Policy, and may result in discipline up to and including dismissal.

More information may be found at:

http://intranet.att.com/corp-policies/Default.aspx?section=7&page=99

Sexual Harassment Prevention Training

Certain states require employers to provide sexual harassment training for employees. More information may be found at:

https://intra.att.com/hronestopadmin/doc/State_Requirements_for_Harassment_Training.pdf

Additional Complaint Procedures

In addition to internal Company reporting methods, employees have the right to file a charge of discrimination with a federal, state, or local agency. All charges of discrimination in which the Company is identified as a Respondent, including charges received by a Company field location, should be immediately directed to the EEO group (which is responsible for all Company communication with the agencies) at eeooffice@att.com.

For Illinois Employees and Their Supervisors:

In addition to the reporting channels identified above, Illinois employees may also report allegations of sexual harassment to the Illinois Secretary of State Inspector General's Office {(217) 785-2012 or (630) 424-2564} or the Department of Human Rights {Chicago office: (312) 814-6200 or Springfield office (217) 785-5100}. Whistleblower protections are also available under the Illinois Whistleblower Act and the Illinois Human Rights Act.



For California Employees and Their Supervisors:

California state law requires employers to distribute to employees in California certain information about sexual harassment. This information is available on the brochure titled "Sexual Harassment: The Facts About Sexual Harassment" (DFEH-185) and is available at

http://www.dfeh.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/32/2017/06/DFEH_SexualHarassmentPamphlet.pdf. Please note that all California employees and their supervisors are required to access this brochure and review the information.

Supervisors, managers, co-workers and third parties are prohibited from engaging in unlawful behavior under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act.

California employees or job applicants who believe that they have been sexually harassed may file a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) within one year of the alleged harassment. For more information, contact the DFEH toll free at (800) 884-1684, Sacramento and out of state at (916) 478-7200, or TTY at (800) 700-2320. You may also contact the DFEH via email at contact.center@dfeh.ca.gov or visit the DFEH's Web site at http://www.dfeh.ca.gov/.

California law requires employers to provide employees notice of their rights and obligations under the Fair Employment and Housing Act with respect to pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions. This information is available in Notice B, "Family Care and Medical Leave and Pregnancy Disability Leave" and is available at

https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/32/2017/06/RightsObligationsPregnantEe_ENG.pdf. Please note that all California employees and their supervisors are required to access this notice and review the information.

Frequently Asked Questions

Your Responsibility as an Employee and/or Supervisor

- Q. Are all AT&T employees responsible for ensuring their actions are conducted in accordance with AT&T's Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) and Harassment Policies?
- A. Yes. Failure to comply with these policies may result in disciplinary action, which can include dismissal even for a first offense. Supervisors are responsible for ensuring the work environment is free of unlawful discrimination and harassment.
- Q. If you believe you have been subjected to unlawful discrimination, harassment, and/or retaliation or have knowledge of conduct prohibited under the Policy, are you responsible for reporting the matter?
- A. Yes. We are all responsible for speaking up if we believe that someone at AT&T has violated the EEO and Harassment policies. If a manager observes or receives any reports or allegations of conduct which could potentially violate the EEO and Harassment policies, the manager has a responsibility to report it immediately to Human Resources or the AT&T Hotline.

Non-Retaliation Policy

- Q. Are employees who raise concerns or who participate in an internal investigation protected from retaliation?
- A. Yes. Any individual who seeks advice, raises a concern, or reports an EEO concern is following the EEO and Harassment Policies. AT&T will not tolerate retaliation against such a person.

Internal Investigations

Q. Are employees guaranteed complete confidentiality or anonymity?



A. Investigators will maintain confidentiality or anonymity to the extent allowable by law and where the confidentiality and anonymity does not impede the integrity of the investigation.



Sexual and Other Harassment

- Q. Is it okay for a supervisor and a subordinate to have a close personal relationship or a dating relationship?
- A. No. Any dating relationship or close personal relationship within the chain of command is prohibited. This also includes a supervisor who has influence over an employee's work, productivity, or terms and conditions of employment. Failure to report the relationship immediately is a violation of the policy.
- Q. Can sexual harassment occur between individuals of the same sex?
- A. Yes. Sexual harassment can happen between individuals of the same sex as well as the opposite sex.
- Q. Are jokes about sex, race, age, religion, ethnicity, or any other jokes made at the expense of others appropriate in the work place?
- A. No. Jokes made at the expense of any person or class of persons are prohibited.

Disability

- Q. Does AT&T accommodate disabilities?
- A. Yes. It is AT&T's policy to provide reasonable accommodations to qualified employees with disabilities when medical restrictions preclude them from performing an essential function of their current or desired job, unless the accommodation poses an undue business hardship for AT&T.

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 34

Job Key	Job Title	FLSA	Level	Year
24601109	Director of Sales	E	3	2017

Job Description

Overall Purpose: Directs teams led by Area Retail Sales Managers to manage the Retail Stores in a defined territory. Key Roles and Responsibilities: Responsible for successfully achieving sales, revenue targets, and customer satisfaction within assigned geographical area and customer base. Responsible for hiring, coaching, and development within his/her territory. The territory can consist of a region or a densely populated market. Overall leadership of sales team to include, but not limited to, staffing/retention, team development, performance and compensation management. Education: Bachelors or Advanced degree preferred. Experience: Typically requires 10 or more years of experience and at least two years of management responsibility. Sales Management experience preferred. Supervisory: Yes.

GAGE DECLARATION EXHIBIT 35

HR.LSO.8252.001 Training History

Completion Date	
Completion Status	
Course (Session) Number	
Course Object Type	
Course Type Name	
Learner Name	
Key Figures	

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type Abbreviation	Course Type Number	Course (Session) Number	Completion Status	Score	Training Hours
11/10/2017	ALISON RAY	Protecting and Understanding CPNI-ML	M-PRD0580	61775931	#	Satisfactory	90.000	0.310
11/10/2017	ALISON RAY	FLSA Supervisor/Manager Wage and Hour	SUPWH	61391805	61432784	Satisfactory	100.000	0.550
11/10/2017	ALISON RAY	Policy Review EEO and Harassment	POL_EEO17	61873233	#	Satisfactory		0.250
10/19/2017	ALISON RAY	myCOACH:Coaching&Accountability-Leaders	MCD200	61951842	61979461	Satisfactory		0.450
10/16/2017	ALISON RAY	Win the Neighborhood	PRD557	61758195	61761951	Satisfactory		0.083
10/02/2017	ALISON RAY	Ethics@Work for Managers	EAWM17	61878786	#	Satisfactory		0.750
08/27/2017	ALISON RAY	Virtual Job Shadow	VRTJBSDW	61865545	#	Satisfactory		
08/05/2017	ALISON RAY	Helping Others Embrace Change	MDHOEC11	60611018	61403208	Satisfactory		1.983
08/05/2017	ALISON RAY	Delegating to Get Results	MDDTGR11	60612230	61404258	Satisfactory		1.000
08/05/2017	ALISON RAY	2020 Ldrshp, Innv, & Comm - Mgr/Dir	2020LICMGR	61865546	#	Satisfactory		
08/05/2017	ALISON RAY	eReading from Executives	ERDNGEXC	61865543	#	Satisfactory		
08/04/2017	ALISON RAY	Value of Coaching Workshop	LACVALWKSHP	61825134	61888661	Satisfactory		8.000
08/03/2017	ALISON RAY	Selling Entertainment Retail CE	PRD8000CE	61873246	61888827	Satisfactory		8.000
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	Entertainment & Content Fundamentals	ENTCONTFUN	61838979	61863017	Satisfactory		0.333
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	DIRECTV NOW	DTVNOW100	61853153	61901987	Satisfactory		0.200
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	DIRECTV Equipment (Residential)	DTVRESEQMT	61885510	61918826	Satisfactory		0.383
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	DIRECTV Programming Residential	DTVRESPRGM	61887851	61937720	Satisfactory	11.000	0.300
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	Mobile Advantage (Residential)	DTVRESMBAV	61891226	61905857	Satisfactory	75.000	0.367
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	Broadband Advantage (Residential)	DTVRESBBAV	61891228	61944833	Satisfactory	40.000	0.233
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	DIRECTV National Offer (Residential)	DTVRESNOMT	61894428	61933357	Satisfactory	50.000	0.500

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 73 of 81

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type	Course Type	Course (Session)	Completion	Score	Training
			Abbreviation	Number	Number	Status		Hours
07/27/2017	ALISON RAY	Strategic Collaboration	STRGCOLB	61865445	#	Satisfactory		1.000
07/25/2017	ALISON RAY	Digital Transformation	DIGFIRSTR	61838977	61860626	Satisfactory		0.533
07/25/2017	ALISON RAY	AT&T 5G and Fiber Connectivity	FIBR5G	61848070	61863668	Satisfactory		0.667
07/21/2017	ALISON RAY	Compliance and AT&T Customers-ML	M-CMPATTCST1	61892078	#	Satisfactory		0.120
07/21/2017	ALISON RAY	Sales Integrity_Retail-ML_EXT	M-SIR2017E	61905479	#	Satisfactory		0.150
06/21/2017	ALISON RAY	AT&T Refunds for 3rd Party Charges 16-ML	M-REF301	61505854	#	Satisfactory		0.250
06/21/2017	ALISON RAY	Performance Development	PDLOTC17	61804242	#	Satisfactory		1.500
06/20/2017	ALISON RAY	Employee Resource Groups	ERGSATT	61417383	61554595	Satisfactory	93.000	0.567
06/19/2017	ALISON RAY	Every Voice Matters	EVYVM	61762206	61775419	Satisfactory		0.383
06/19/2017	ALISON RAY	Additional Hours-Making Right Choices	AHMRC	61860580	61877920	Satisfactory		0.133
05/17/2017	ALISON RAY	2017 LwD General Managers Virtual	LWDGM17V	61788743	#	Satisfactory		
05/11/2017	ALISON RAY	Provisioning Connected Car in Retail	PRVCCRTL	61846630	61862871	Satisfactory	80.000	0.400
02/09/2017	ALISON RAY	What to Expect in a Work Stoppage	W2EXPECT	61376326	61463048	Satisfactory	100.000	0.533
01/10/2017	ALISON RAY	AT&T Code of Business Conduct 2017	COBC17	61764799	#	Satisfactory		
10/02/2016	ALISON RAY	mLearning Legal Disclaimer (d.1216)-ML	M-LEGAL16V2	61704440	#	Satisfactory		0.010
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	Setting Up a Smartphone-ML	M-PRD532	61548932	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.190
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	System Access Hot Button - ML	M-PRD547	61596043	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.080
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	iPhone 7/iPhone 7 Plus-ML	M-PRD548	61692221	#	Satisfactory		0.270
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	Apple Watch-ML	M-PRD549	61692223	#	Satisfactory		0.270
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	Your Actions Matter Our Most Valued Asse	M-RET1300	61549618	#	Satisfactory		0.440
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	Great Coaching	LIQSLA14	61289631	61305052	Satisfactory		0.383
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	Leading People HMM v12	HMMV1222	61434248	#	Satisfactory		
09/29/2016	ALISON RAY	AT&T - The Integrated Provider	CLO001	61512594	61551725	Satisfactory		0.083
09/23/2016	ALISON RAY	Records and Info Management	RIMINFO	61538393	#	Satisfactory		
08/16/2016	ALISON RAY	Comp 2016 Asst and Acknowledge	PRD529	61525373	61537952	Satisfactory		0.017
07/19/2016	ALISON RAY	Leaders New to National Retail	NRTNEW800	61593134	61603684	Satisfactory		4.000
07/13/2016	ALISON RAY	Leaders New to AT&T Retail	RETNEW800	61592266	61597391	Satisfactory		4.000
06/30/2016	ALISON RAY	Issuing Bill Credits in OPUS-ML	M-BCOPUS100	61586866	#	Satisfactory		0.180
06/27/2016	ALISON RAY	Billing Inquire Billing Adjustment-ML	M-BIBA_AR100	61586865	#	Satisfactory	90.000	0.340

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 74 of 81

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type	Course Type	Course (Session)	Completion	Score	Training
			Abbreviation	Number	Number	Status		Hours
06/27/2016	ALISON RAY	Mobility Credit Guidelines-ML	M-MCG100	61248052	#	Satisfactory	88.000	
06/27/2016	ALISON RAY	Merchandising Systems & Tools-ML	M-MERCH02	61523740	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.950
06/27/2016	ALISON RAY	Merchandising Resets-ML	M-MERCH03	61524904	#	Satisfactory		0.400
06/27/2016	ALISON RAY	Ergonomics in Retail Stores Review-ML	M-RCT1307	61476776	#	Satisfactory	80.000	0.220
06/27/2016	ALISON RAY	Store Visits for Directors	RET498	61380491	61425080	Satisfactory	100.000	0.250
06/27/2016	ALISON RAY	CSM Corporate Policy Review	POL_CSM16	61582120	#	Satisfactory		
06/08/2016	ALISON RAY	Leading with Distinction General Manager	LWDGM	61533759	61538143	Satisfactory		
06/05/2016	ALISON RAY	Policy Review Insider Trading	POL_INSTRD	61580427	#	Satisfactory		
05/19/2016	ALISON RAY	Merchandising Basics-ML	M-MERCH01	61523545	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.340
05/19/2016	ALISON RAY	Non-Barg Surplus Notification Process	NB-SNP	60565696	60607732	Satisfactory	90.000	0.483
05/18/2016	ALISON RAY	EH&S Orientation for New Employees-US	EHS_OR_US	61289390	61308091	Satisfactory	100.000	0.033
05/03/2016	ALISON RAY	Policy Review Corporate Accessibility	POL_TEC16	61518330	#	Satisfactory		0.250
04/26/2016	ALISON RAY	Job Accommodation Trng for Supervisor	JOBACCSUP	60597823	61179058	Satisfactory		0.483
04/14/2016	ALISON RAY	Report Privacy Incidents Policy Review	POL_RPI16	61548947	#	Satisfactory		0.250
04/01/2016	ALISON RAY	Box Cutter Safety Acknowledgement-ML	M-PRD528	61512598	#	Satisfactory		0.010
04/01/2016	ALISON RAY	2016 - Indirect Internal Comp ACK-ML	M-PRD533	61539182	#	Satisfactory		0.030
04/01/2016	ALISON RAY	EH&S Office & Retail Overview for US	OVERUS	60874350	60881516	Satisfactory		0.150
04/01/2016	ALISON RAY	Global Anti-Bribery and FCPA	GABC_FCPA	61345602	61534288	Satisfactory	100.000	0.600
04/01/2016	ALISON RAY	AT&T Refunds for 3rd Party Charges 16	REF301	61505856	61516560	Satisfactory		0.200
03/06/2016	ALISON RAY	Preventing Fraud R-ML	M-FR114R	61510166	#	Satisfactory	90.000	0.300
03/06/2016	ALISON RAY	mLearning Legal Disclaimer(d. 0616)-ML	M-LEGAL16	61505271	#	Satisfactory		0.010
02/29/2016	ALISON RAY	Disability Awareness Training R-ML	M-DISARR	61511159	#	Satisfactory		0.040
02/29/2016	ALISON RAY	CPNI and Social Engineering R-ML	M-RT356R	61510165	#	Satisfactory	92.000	0.740
02/20/2016	ALISON RAY	AT&T Code of Business Conduct 2016	COBC16	61497458	#	Satisfactory		
02/11/2016	ALISON RAY	LaC 3.0 for Market Leaders	RET9611	61498401	61519052	Satisfactory		8.000
01/30/2016	ALISON RAY	AT&T EEO and Harassment Policies	EEOHAR	61143191	61160366	Satisfactory		0.100
01/30/2016	ALISON RAY	AT&T Emergency Preparedness Training	EMPREP	61482818	61511333	Satisfactory		0.217
10/05/2015	ALISON RAY	Diversity-Many Backgrounds - One Focus	DIVERS_MB	60269198	61022166	Satisfactory		0.417
09/24/2015	ALISON RAY	NPW Policy Training For AT&T Managers	NPWPOLICY	60675403	61213878	Satisfactory	100.000	0.533
09/22/2015	ALISON RAY	NPI 2015 Recorded - ML	M-RET7472	61436006	#	Satisfactory		0.550
08/28/2015	ALISON RAY	Policy Review Annual Compliance Video	POL_COMVT	61412348	#	Satisfactory		

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 75 of 81

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type Abbreviation	Course Type Number	Course (Session) Number	Completion Status	Score	Training Hours
08/23/2015	ALISON RAY	mLearning Legal Disclaimer(d.1215)-ML	M-LEGAL15V2	61405912	#	Satisfactory		
08/23/2015	ALISON RAY	AT&T Refunds for Third-Party Charges-ML	M-REF201	61405272	#	Satisfactory		
08/19/2015	ALISON RAY	Leading with Distinction 2015 (GM)	LWD2015GM	61308068	61386038	Satisfactory		
08/09/2015	ALISON RAY	Offer & Activating Video Solutions-ML	M-PRD513	61340693	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.300
07/30/2015	ALISON RAY	Antitrust and Competition v3	ANTTR	61368314	61379228	Satisfactory		0.533
07/29/2015	ALISON RAY	Foster Inclusion Part 1	FOSTERPT1	61100163	61149601	Satisfactory	100.000	0.133
07/29/2015	ALISON RAY	Foster Inclusion Part 2	FOSTERPT2	61100164	61149630	Satisfactory	100.000	0.067
07/28/2015	ALISON RAY	Whitespace Construction Punch-1	DLR444	61399676	#	Satisfactory		
07/22/2015	ALISON RAY	Policy Review Rpt On and Off Duty	POL_ONOFF	61384426	#	Satisfactory		0.250
06/19/2015	ALISON RAY	AccessMyLAN-ML	M-RET1523	61048313	#	Satisfactory		0.120
06/19/2015	ALISON RAY	Fan Search Tool (FaST)_ML	M-RET1524	61065518	#	Satisfactory	80.000	0.320
06/19/2015	ALISON RAY	Vantiv Mobile Payment Solution _ML	M-RET1525	61185533	#	Satisfactory		0.120
06/19/2015	ALISON RAY	Business in Retail Basics_ML	M-RET1530	61065521	#	Satisfactory	80.000	0.110
06/19/2015	ALISON RAY	IRU Selling Skills_ML	M-RET1531	61065520	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.130
06/19/2015	ALISON RAY	CRU Selling Skills_ML	M-RET1532	61065519	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.090
06/19/2015	ALISON RAY	Enterprise CRU Retail Support_ML	M-RET1533	61293803	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.210
06/03/2015	ALISON RAY	2015 AT&T Next Enhancements - ML	M-PRD515	61345433	#	Satisfactory		0.250
06/03/2015	ALISON RAY	Employee Resource Groups	ERGSATT_	60817773	60982651	Satisfactory	100.000	1.217
06/02/2015	ALISON RAY	Business Expert Assessment	BEXP100	61345429	61352119	Satisfactory	90.000	0.533
05/27/2015	ALISON RAY	#connected - Solutions RAE/ARSMi	DLR5982	61315839	61325903	Satisfactory		3.500
05/27/2015	ALISON RAY	Sales and Marketing Guidelines 2015	POL_SMG15	61355658	#	Satisfactory		
05/18/2015	ALISON RAY	GoPhone for Non-Exclusive Dealers-ML	M-IND250	61336532	#	Satisfactory		0.080
05/18/2015	ALISON RAY	mLearning Legal Disclaimer(d.0615)-ML	M-LEGAL15V1	61345686	#	Satisfactory		
05/18/2015	ALISON RAY	Introducing Plenti -ML	M-PRD509	61316641	#	Satisfactory	80.000	0.160
05/13/2015	ALISON RAY	Foreign Corrupt Practices Act v2	FCPA2	60245544	60885060	Satisfactory		0.950
04/15/2015	ALISON RAY	Gifts Intl Policy Review	POL_GFIN	61327370	#	Satisfactory		
04/13/2015	ALISON RAY	FLSA - Supervisor Review	FLSA_SUP2	60078339	60360627	Satisfactory	100.000	1.683
04/06/2015	ALISON RAY	2015_TU_Presents_Virtual	VMSW2V15	61227184	#	Satisfactory		
04/06/2015	ALISON RAY	Policy Review Mobile Device Security	POL_MDS	61285792	#	Satisfactory		0.250
04/06/2015	ALISON RAY	Third Party Supplier Survey	POL_TPS	61320373	#	Satisfactory		
03/30/2015	ALISON RAY	OPUS Top Transactions for Dealers	DLR3003	61159414	61340068	Satisfactory	90.000	0.450

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type Abbreviation	Course Type Number	Course (Session) Number	Completion Status	Score	Training Hours
03/26/2015	ALISON RAY	Protecting CPNI and Social Engineering	RCT356	60032887	61074150	Satisfactory	100.000	0.417
03/12/2015	ALISON RAY	AT&T EEO and Harassment Policies-MLd	M-EEOHRS	61149116	#	Satisfactory		0.070
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	AT&T Code of Business Conduct 2015-ML	M-COBC15	61277156	#	Satisfactory		0.030
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness Ep 1 -Mld	M-EPREP1	61252948	#	Satisfactory		0.190
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness Ep 2 -Mld	M-EPREP2	61253075	#	Satisfactory		0.160
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness Ep 3 -Mld	M-EPREP3	61253085	#	Satisfactory		0.130
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness Ep 4 -Mld	M-EPREP4	61253086	#	Satisfactory		0.160
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	Preventing Fraud-ML	M-FRA114	61211952	#	Satisfactory	90.000	0.140
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	Social Engineering Awareness-MLd	M-L0747	60965550	#	Satisfactory		0.110
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	PCI Awareness Training - Module 1-MLd	M-PCIDSS	61175502	#	Satisfactory		0.120
02/25/2015	ALISON RAY	Records & Info Mgmt Awareness-MLd	M-RIMAWR	61005547	#	Satisfactory		0.200
02/17/2015	ALISON RAY	Policy Review Accessibility Complianc	POL_TEC15	61307241	#	Satisfactory		
02/10/2015	ALISON RAY	LaC 2.0 for Market Leaders	RET0955	61269397	61305979	Satisfactory		
01/15/2015	ALISON RAY	My phone is my	RET505	61262684	61289866	Satisfactory	100.000	0.250
09/09/2014	ALISON RAY	Foreign Corrupt Practices Act Policy	POL_FCPA4	61209128	#	Satisfactory		0.250
08/22/2014	ALISON RAY	Compliance Training for Managers	POL_MGRCO	61184762	#	Completed -		
07/25/2014	ALISON RAY	EH&S Office & Retail Overview for US	OVERUS	60874350	60881516	Satisfactory		0.567
07/22/2014	ALISON RAY	Selling Fire (Recorded) Assessment	L2-PRD492	61215605	#	Satisfactory	90.000	0.060
07/22/2014	ALISON RAY	Introducing Fire	PRD490	61140777	61177919	Satisfactory	80.000	0.100
07/22/2014	ALISON RAY	Selling Fire (Recorded)	PRD492	61145982	61189406	Satisfactory		1.217
07/21/2014	ALISON RAY	AT&T Multi-DeviceProtectionPack_LD-ML	M-IND479	61168994	#	Satisfactory	90.000	#######
07/21/2014	ALISON RAY	mLearning Legal Disclaimer(d.1214)-ML	M-LEGAL14V2	61192504	#	Satisfactory	100.000	21.000
07/21/2014	ALISON RAY	2014 EH&S Plan Overview - ML	M-PLAN14	61159605	#	Satisfactory		
07/21/2014	ALISON RAY	MDPP - Limited Lines - ML	M-PRD482	61169703	#	Satisfactory		324.000
06/30/2014	ALISON RAY	Even Better Together-ML	M-EBT101	61160738	#	Satisfactory	100.000	932.000
06/30/2014	ALISON RAY	AT&T EEO and Harassment Policies-MLd	M-EEOHRS	61149116	#	Satisfactory		252.000
06/10/2014	ALISON RAY	My Time Refresher Supervisors	POL_MTS14	61149925	#	Satisfactory		0.250
05/19/2014	ALISON RAY	Job Accomm for Supervisors Refresher	POL_JBACC	61149644	#	Satisfactory		0.250
05/15/2014	ALISON RAY	LwD - Execute for Excellence 2020	E4E2020GM	61042092	61048314	Satisfactory		
05/12/2014	ALISON RAY	GM LwD 2020 Vision (Recorded)	GM2020VR	60990608	#	Satisfactory		
05/12/2014	ALISON RAY	GM LwD Innovator's DNA (Recorded)	GMIDNAR1	60992246	#	Satisfactory		

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 77 of 81

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type	Course Type	Course (Session)	Completion	Score	Training
			Abbreviation	Number	Number	Status		Hours
05/12/2014	ALISON RAY	GM LwD Innovator's DNA (Recorded)	GMIDNAR2	60992300	#	Satisfactory		
04/21/2014	ALISON RAY	Payment Card Industry (PCI) Policy	POL_PCI14	61080098	#	Satisfactory		0.250
04/15/2014	ALISON RAY	AT&T Code of Business Conduct 2014-ML	M-COBC14	61049155	#	Satisfactory		58.000
04/15/2014	ALISON RAY	Disability Awareness Training-MLd	M-DISAWR	60975379	#	Satisfactory		624.000
04/15/2014	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness - ML	M-EPREP	60832188	#	Satisfactory	100.000	131.000
04/15/2014	ALISON RAY	mLearning Legal Disclaimer(d.0714)-ML	M-LEGAL14V1	61059708	#	Satisfactory		18.000
04/15/2014	ALISON RAY	Snapshot-ML	M-RET126	60975970	#	Satisfactory		651.000
04/15/2014	ALISON RAY	Dealer Compensation Overview	IND115	61061861	61108408	Satisfactory		0.067
04/15/2014	ALISON RAY	Sales and Marketing Guidelines 2014	POL_SLMKT	61080095	#	Satisfactory		
04/14/2014	ALISON RAY	Data Management Policy	POL_DMGT	61110765	#	Satisfactory		
03/30/2014	ALISON RAY	Antitrust Policy	POL_ANTIT	61079095	#	Satisfactory		
03/28/2014	ALISON RAY	Retail mLearning Acknowledgement - ML	M-PRD478	61079725	#	Satisfactory		13.000
03/28/2014	ALISON RAY	Protecting CPNI and Social Engineering	RCT356	60032887	61074150	Satisfactory	92.000	0.133
03/26/2014	ALISON RAY	Sales Compensation 2014 ACK - Indirec	M-ACK855	61074241	#	Satisfactory	100.000	514.000
03/20/2014	ALISON RAY	Motivate to Engage	MOT2ENG	61030637	61032963	Satisfactory		9.000
03/01/2014	ALISON RAY	OP123	POL_OP123	61084561	#	Satisfactory		
02/27/2014	ALISON RAY	Inspired & Engaged Training 2014 T3	RET9231	61058960	61076050	Satisfactory		1.000
02/18/2014	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness	EMPREP_US	60815857	61046766	Satisfactory	100.000	0.067
02/14/2014	ALISON RAY	Accessibility Compliance Policy	POL_TEC14	61071524	#	Satisfactory		
02/06/2014	ALISON RAY	Fraud Prevention	TC_FRA113	60127026	60459771	Satisfactory	100.000	0.700
02/06/2014	ALISON RAY	Seeding Acknowledgment WBT	NRT214	61042765	61049991	Satisfactory	100.000	0.017
02/05/2014	ALISON RAY	Social Media Policy	POL_SOCP4	61064256	#	Satisfactory		
02/04/2014	ALISON RAY	Lead by Example: Be Proactive	RET5020	61054004	61055109	Satisfactory		0.117
12/06/2013	ALISON RAY	AT&T Mobile Share Value Plans	PRD472	60999671	61034400	Satisfactory	100.000	0.267
12/05/2013	ALISON RAY	mLearning Legal Disclaimer(d.1213)-ML	M-LEGAL13V2	60971798	#	Satisfactory		18.000
10/31/2013	ALISON RAY	I&E mLearning 1-ml	M-PRD429	60907800	#	Satisfactory		200.000
10/31/2013	ALISON RAY	I&E mLearning 2	M-PRD430	60912088	#	Satisfactory		306.000
10/31/2013	ALISON RAY	I&E mLearning 3	M-PRD431	60912089	#	Satisfactory		45.000
10/31/2013	ALISON RAY	FLSA - Supervisor Review	FLSA_SUP2	60078339	60360627	Satisfactory	100.000	2.750
10/30/2013	ALISON RAY	MyTime - Mgrs of Non-Exempt Emp v6	TC_MYT215	60340329	60364126	Satisfactory	81.000	1.200
10/24/2013	ALISON RAY	Leaders as Coaches for Directors	RET950	60953507	60978810	Satisfactory		23.500

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 78 of 81

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type Abbreviation	Course Type Number	Course (Session) Number	Completion Status	Score	Training Hours
09/29/2013	ALISON RAY	Sales and Marketing Guidelines	POL_SMG13	60926731	#	Satisfactory		
09/17/2013	ALISON RAY	Inspired & Engaged Leadership Skills	PRD413	60840339	60939463	Satisfactory		3.000
08/19/2013	ALISON RAY	2013 Compliance for Sr. Leadership	COMPL2013	60871807	60920602	Satisfactory		0.017
08/05/2013	ALISON RAY	Disability Awareness Video	RCT1305	60835179	60935361	Satisfactory	73.000	0.417
08/01/2013	ALISON RAY	Woking with Suppliers Policy 2013	POL_WWS13	60938545	#	Satisfactory		
07/30/2013	ALISON RAY	Sales Comp 2013 ACK	ACK852	60900103	60934129	Satisfactory	100.000	0.133
07/23/2013	ALISON RAY	Hazard Communication - GHS Coverage	HZCMGHS	60817772	60893941	Satisfactory	100.000	0.500
07/15/2013	ALISON RAY	Digital Life for Dealer Internals	DLR379	60831458	60925487	Satisfactory		8.000
07/12/2013	ALISON RAY	Digital Life for Retail Overview	PRD377	60745418	60826415	Satisfactory		0.283
07/11/2013	ALISON RAY	Fraud Prevention	TC_FRA113	60127026	60459771	Satisfactory	100.000	0.717
06/25/2013	ALISON RAY	LwD5: G2E for General Managers	LWD5GM	60873911	60899377	Satisfactory		10.250
05/31/2013	ALISON RAY	Sponsorships Memberships (CSM) Policy	POL_13CSM	60909953	#	Satisfactory		
05/28/2013	ALISON RAY	C&C Audit Essentials	IND310	60839620	60880408	Satisfactory	100.000	0.150
05/28/2013	ALISON RAY	Introduction to C&C Audit Strategy	IND309	60872611	60881631	Unsatisfactory		0.200
05/23/2013	ALISON RAY	Job Accommodations for Supervisors	POL_JOBAC	60907430	#	Satisfactory		
05/01/2013	ALISON RAY	Planning the eNPS Discussion	ENPSPLAN	60873188	60896174	Satisfactory		0.200
05/01/2013	ALISON RAY	Conducting the eNPS Discussion	ENPSCON	60873191	60877169	Satisfactory		0.233
04/29/2013	ALISON RAY	Mobile Insight Report Builder Update	NRT_270	60833572	60857193	Satisfactory	100.000	0.767
04/28/2013	ALISON RAY	Protecting CPNI and Social Engineering	RCT356	60032887	60664253	Satisfactory	92.000	0.550
04/28/2013	ALISON RAY	Job Accommodation Trng for Supervisor	JOBACCSUP	60597823	60605210	Satisfactory		0.267
04/26/2013	ALISON RAY	Ergonomics for the Office	ERGOOFF	60806100	60823787	Satisfactory	90.000	0.250
03/27/2013	ALISON RAY	Selling BlackBerry 10	PRD409	60845692	60864157	Satisfactory		
03/25/2013	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness	EMPREP_US	60815857	60840809	Satisfactory	100.000	0.017
03/25/2013	ALISON RAY	Account Level CSS	RET4111	60845441	60874730	Satisfactory		0.117
03/24/2013	ALISON RAY	Conflict of Interest 2013	POL_COI13	60835587	#	Satisfactory		
02/19/2013	ALISON RAY	AT&T Code of Business Conduct 2013	COBC13	60817766	60820802	Satisfactory		0.050
01/25/2013	ALISON RAY	Accessibility Compliance	POL_TECHA	60839674	#	Satisfactory		0.250
01/14/2013	ALISON RAY	AT&T Enhanced Push to Talk	PRD403	60810756	60827840	Satisfactory	90.000	0.267
10/24/2012	ALISON RAY	Inspired & Engaged 2012	RET9297	60686414	60734436	Satisfactory		3.000
10/16/2012	ALISON RAY	Protecting CPNI and Social Engineering	RCT356	60032887	60664253	Satisfactory	92.000	0.933
10/16/2012	ALISON RAY	Asset Registration Policy 2012	POL_ASTRG	60782195	#	Satisfactory		

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 79 of 81

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type	Course Type	Course (Session)	Completion	Score	Training
10/01/2012	1,1,501,517		Abbreviation	Number	Number	Status		Hours
10/04/2012	ALISON RAY	Corporate Memberships (CSM) Policy	POL_CSM12	60778508	#	Satisfactory	00.000	0.400
09/28/2012	ALISON RAY	Our Inspired & Engaged Culture	RET9290	60729828	60773400	Satisfactory	80.000	0.433
09/24/2012	ALISON RAY	Working With Suppliers Policy 2012	POL_WSPL2	60667488	#	Satisfactory		
09/19/2012	ALISON RAY	Be an MVP with MPP!	DLR1050	60743991	60770072	Satisfactory	100.000	
08/21/2012	ALISON RAY	Agent National Retail Training	ANR100	60086781	60111031	Satisfactory	100.000	0.167
08/20/2012	ALISON RAY	Sales Marketing Guideline Policy 2012	POL_SMG12	60756042	#	Satisfactory		
08/08/2012	ALISON RAY	AT&T Mobile Share	PRD365	60675404	60750587	Satisfactory	90.000	0.167
07/26/2012	ALISON RAY	Mobile Insight for the Advanced User	MOBINSADV	60673847	60707323	Satisfactory	80.000	0.683
07/09/2012	ALISON RAY	CSO Approver Reviewer Training	CSOAPRVRV	60435285	60465317	Satisfactory		0.533
06/13/2012	ALISON RAY	Leading with Distinction 4 GM	LWD4GM	60610790	60614102	Satisfactory		20.250
05/16/2012	ALISON RAY	AT&T Wireless Home Phone	PRD308	60589268	60681435	Satisfactory	100.000	0.517
04/30/2012	ALISON RAY	Windows Phone OS Assessment	L2-PRD324	60658194	#	Satisfactory	80.000	0.210
04/30/2012	ALISON RAY	HTC One X	PRD297	60658189	60682018	Satisfactory	88.000	0.367
04/25/2012	ALISON RAY	OPS Assessment (COR)	L2-PRD311	60651672	#	Satisfactory	80.000	0.110
04/24/2012	ALISON RAY	Offering Personalized Solutions	PRD311	60606841	60641218	Satisfactory		8.000
04/23/2012	ALISON RAY	AT&T Voicemail Security	PRD218	60090682	60090806	Satisfactory	90.000	0.200
04/23/2012	ALISON RAY	2012 Compliance for Sr. Leadership	COMPL2012	60619405	60638336	Satisfactory		0.083
04/23/2012	ALISON RAY	PDTS for Indirect Virtual ILV	IND2006	60635135	60655335	Satisfactory		2.000
04/03/2012	ALISON RAY	Social Media Standards 2012	POL_SM12	60631224	#	Satisfactory		
03/27/2012	ALISON RAY	Helping Your Customer Choose a WP Device	PRD296	60630001	60672703	Satisfactory		0.367
03/09/2012	ALISON RAY	Non Wired Indirect Compensation Assess	L2-PRD344	60638405	#	Satisfactory	100.000	0.010
03/09/2012	ALISON RAY	ATT Dealer Info Sharing	IND723	60270173	60279608	Satisfactory	100.000	0.017
03/09/2012	ALISON RAY	255 Disability Awareness Trng	SECT_255	60514554	60526817	Satisfactory		0.033
03/09/2012	ALISON RAY	AT&T Code of Business Conduct 2012	COBC12	60595060	60600991	Satisfactory		0.083
03/09/2012	ALISON RAY	PDTS Training for Indirects	IND2002	60601328	60635502	Satisfactory	92.000	0.983
02/27/2012	ALISON RAY	2012 Sales Commission Acknowledgement	ACK0800	60623517	60640910	Satisfactory	100.000	0.017
02/23/2012	ALISON RAY	4G Speedway Wave 3 Assessment	L2_PRD319	60624583	60634626	Satisfactory	90.000	0.067
02/23/2012	ALISON RAY	4G Speedway Wave 3 Recorded	PRD318	60625311	60637030	Satisfactory		0.617
02/23/2012	ALISON RAY	Samsung Galaxy Note	PRD298	60627306	60632442	Satisfactory	80.000	0.067

Case 2:18-cv-03303-TR Document 97-7 Filed 06/29/20 Page 80 of 81

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type	Course Type	Course (Session)	Completion	Score	Training
·			Abbreviation	Number	Number	Status		Hours
02/14/2012	ALISON RAY	Northeast Region Indirect DOS	PRD358	60635409	60636732	Satisfactory		1.000
02/01/2012	ALISON RAY	Do Not Solicit	POL_DNS	60618679	#	Satisfactory		
01/26/2012	ALISON RAY	2012 MAPP Acknowledgement	ACK2000	60612243	60614105	Satisfactory	100.000	
01/15/2012	ALISON RAY	Field Execution of the DSA New Hire	IND1005	60598639	60622227	Satisfactory		0.033
01/10/2012	ALISON RAY	Emergency Preparedness Policy 2012	POL_EP12	60608407	#	Satisfactory		
12/07/2011	ALISON RAY	Management Agreement	POL_MGTAG	60594440	#	Satisfactory		
11/18/2011	ALISON RAY	Leading an Extraordinary Experience	RET9900	60471541	60574626	Satisfactory		8.000
10/25/2011	ALISON RAY	PDC Oct 2011 Enhancements	DLR1110	60542985	60569332	Satisfactory	90.000	0.583
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	Compliance and Showroom Excellence Srvys	DLR413	60083958	60522122	Satisfactory	100.000	0.283
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	AT&T Voicemail Security	PRD218	60090682	60090806	Satisfactory	100.000	0.183
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	Do Not Solicit for Dealers	DLR198	60132738	60133320	Satisfactory	100.000	0.050
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	ATT Dealer Info Sharing	IND723	60270173	60279608	Satisfactory	100.000	0.017
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	2011 Sales Commission Acknowledgement	ACK0700	60407230	60412851	Satisfactory	100.000	0.017
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	Selling Small Business Apps in Retail	RET537	60467896	60488274	Satisfactory	100.000	0.267
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	HTC Jetstream	PRD278	60485195	60539655	Satisfactory	90.000	1.050
09/26/2011	ALISON RAY	Windows Phone 7 Mango Update	PRD279	60517861	60551514	Satisfactory	100.000	0.683
09/05/2011	ALISON RAY	4G Speedway Assessment	L2_PRD301	60504460	60529953	Satisfactory	90.000	0.183
09/01/2011	ALISON RAY	Working with Suppliers	POL_WKSPL	60514799	#	Satisfactory		
08/22/2011	ALISON RAY	4G Speedway (Live)	PRD304	60496514	60500155	Satisfactory		8.000
08/01/2011	ALISON RAY	Sales and Marketing Guidelines Policy	POL_SMGP	60518173	#	Satisfactory		
07/05/2011	ALISON RAY	Global Travel & Expense Policy	POL_GTEP1	60500603	#	Satisfactory		
06/22/2011	ALISON RAY	SPI/Financial Data 2011	POL_SPI11	60480955	#	Satisfactory		
06/14/2011	ALISON RAY	CES Training	RET2000	60473036	60490666	Satisfactory	100.000	0.767
05/30/2011	ALISON RAY	Social Media Policy 2011	POL_SM11	60481574	#	Satisfactory		
05/17/2011	ALISON RAY	LwD3 GM Driving Loyalty	LWD3GMDL	60370111	60378539	Satisfactory		21.000
05/10/2011	ALISON RAY	EH&S Office/Retail Annual Training	TC_EHSANN_O	60211420	60258298	Satisfactory		0.133
05/10/2011	ALISON RAY	AT&T Privacy and Information Security	PRIVCYSEC	60438216	60465811	Satisfactory		0.233
05/05/2011	ALISON RAY	Crucial Conversations	MDCRUC108	51228619	60468354	Satisfactory		
04/27/2011	ALISON RAY	Protecting CPNI and Social Engineering	RCT356	60032887	60212618	Satisfactory	100.000	0.833

Completion Date	Learner Name	Course Type Name	Course Type Abbreviation	Course Type Number	Course (Session) Number	Completion Status	Score	Training Hours
04/27/2011	ALISON RAY	RIM/Electronic Communication Awareness	RIM_EC	60109598	60211925	Satisfactory		0.050
04/26/2011	ALISON RAY	Ergonomics 101	EHSERG101	51328000	60009581	Satisfactory	100.000	0.150
04/26/2011	ALISON RAY	International Services Training	PRD048	60089229	60221593	Satisfactory	100.000	0.650
04/26/2011	ALISON RAY	Do Not Solicit Acknowlegement Course	ACK104	60198594	60200183	Satisfactory	100.000	0.017
04/26/2011	ALISON RAY	Antitrust and Competition Guidelines	ANTI_TRST	60273290	60434066	Satisfactory	100.000	0.083
04/22/2011	ALISON RAY	Fraud Prevention	TC_FRA113	60127026	60459771	Satisfactory	100.000	0.417
04/19/2011	ALISON RAY	AT&T Code of Business Conduct 2011	TC_COBC11	60373963	60398998	Satisfactory		0.217
03/17/2011	ALISON RAY	EEO and Harassment Policies	EEO_HAR	60239896	60258800	Satisfactory	100.000	0.050
02/28/2011	ALISON RAY	EH&S Office/Retail Overview	TC_EHSOVR_O	60283376	60343650	Satisfactory		0.267